NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

50p

JULY 1985

ISSUE NO. 31

POWER

WHAT IT IS
See page 22

HOW TO GET IT
See page 8

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.
Tel. 01-684-0271

Editor: Keiron Richards

Nationalism Today is the radical voice of British Nationalism. It is printed, published and distributed by the N.T. Press. Editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors — and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *N.T.* or the National Front.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in, or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing, and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published — which become *N.T.* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alterations to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must gain the permission of the Editor and under-take to include with the re-printed article the author's name — and the name and address of *Nationalism Today*.

BULK RATES

10 copies	£4.40 + 95p post
20 copies	£8.00 + £1.67 post
30 copies	£12.00 + £1.67 post
40 copies	£16.00 + £2.00 post
50 copies	£18.20 + £2.00 post
60 copies	£21.80 + £2.20 post
70 copies	£24.50 + £2.20 post
80 copies	£29.00 + £2.35 post
90 copies	£32.60 + £2.35 post
100 copies	£32.50 + £2.50 post
200 - 499 copies:	29p each) Postal rates apply
500 copies and over:	25p) for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

EDITORIAL

JULY 1985 ISSUE NO. 31

VIOLENTLY SICK

FOOTBALL VIOLENCE has dominated the headlines over the last few months. Chelsea fans rioted at a home match, hurling missiles at the police, and Milwall fans rioted at an away game, attacking the police and wrecking the stadium after their team lost to Luton Town. A few weeks later a supporter was killed at Birmingham when a wall collapsed and on the same day the inferno at Bradford City's ground claimed the lives of over fifty people. As if that wasn't enough, Liverpool and Juventus fans rioted at the European Cup Final in Brussels and dozens were killed when another wall collapsed.

All pretty gruesome stuff. Yet the mass media, far from seeking an answer to what causes youths to partake in the violence which led to the tragic scenes at Brussels, sought instead to use the National Front as a sordid scapegoat. On the flimsiest of evidence and, more usually, on the strength of no evidence at all, they blamed the NF for "organising" the violence in Brussels.

But while the media and the establishment search desperately for a scapegoat, let's go back to the central question : What causes youths to partake in football violence?

Quite simply, the youth of today have no future, no jobs and no hope. They live in a world where healthy instincts are derided and suppressed, and where they themselves have no power whatsoever to change things or to have any control at all over their own lives. They are helpless and powerless, trapped in a world which they despise and which despises them. It is under these circumstances and these conditions that the youth of today lash out in scenes of violence. It is negative, it is destructive, it achieves nothing. But it is at least understandable even if it is also uncondonable.

Football violence is a nihilistic rebellion against a nihilistic system. It is the visible sign of a violently sick society being violently sick in public. Seen in this light, the National Front is far from being the cause of football violence. On the contrary, as the only healthy part of the body politic we are the anti-bodies which will purge our society of the sickness which causes football violence.

LIVING IN RACIAL "HYMIENY"

WHEN Jesse Jackson made his widely reported comments about 'Hymies' and spoke of New York as 'Hymietown' a new low in Black/Jewish relations was reached.

Black and Jewish leaders in Britain rather smugly ignored the American experience but the seeds of hatred and distrust between the two groups do exist in this country also.

For example, Guyanan barrister Rudy Narayan wrote the following about the Jews in his book *Black Britain*: "The Jews own most of Britain . . . . But the Jews have not stopped in business. They have learned only too well from Adolf Hitler. There are two other areas where their infiltration has been secret and overwhelming. The Jews have learnt about the power of the printed word. Control over the media including publishing, newspapers and broadcasting is absolute".

According to a leading member of the Jewish Board of Deputies, Narayan escaped prosecution under the Race Relations Act for these comments because "that would have only made matters much worse". It seems that the Zionists are only prepared to prosecute Whites under the Race Act . . .

However, racism between Blacks and Jews is not the sole preserve of the Black community. It is quite common to hear Jews refer to West Indians and Africans as 'schwartzes', literally 'Blacks' but colloquially 'niggers'.

IGNORANT ABUSE

Ignorant abuse is not, however, the crux of the matter. Rastafarianism, followed strictly by relatively few but influential on many, fundamentally questions the integrity and history of the Jewish people. Meanwhile, Black Muslims have never disguised their hostility to Judaism.

Returning to the situation in America for the moment, Negro novelist James Baldwin had this to say of the American Black experience: "When we were growing up in Harlem our demoralising series of landlords were Jewish, and we hated them."

Parallels between the experiences of James Baldwin in America and Blacks in Britain today are obvious and striking. The Berger empire of slum housing is owned by orthodox Jews and Black and White tenants alike are the victims.

A readiness by Blacks to identify Jews as a privileged caucus within the ruling class has been reinforced by their dislike and distrust of the Jewish Home Secretary, Leon Brittan. "I've been at meetings where young West Indians have said 'Brittan is a Jew, Brittan is a racist'," states David Rosenberg, co-ordinator of the Jewish Cultural and Anti-Racist Project.

Rosenberg jumps to Leon Brittan's defence, however, by stating that the young West Indians are mistaken because Brittan is a Thatcherite Tory and Rosenberg is a socialist one can only assume that they have something else in common which supercedes their political differences.

Speaking of differences, we may have many differences with the 'young West Indians' described by Rosenberg, but we definitely can't flaw their extremely apt description of our much-loved Home Secretary. 'Brittan is a Jew, Brittan is a racist' seems highly accurate and unquestionable to us!

"Brittan is a racist".

The Kosher Cosh

WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE, Charles Dickens and Geoffrey Chaucer are the three latest giants of English literature to come under the kosher cosh.

An issue of the *Jewish Telegraph* in May reported moves in the Zionist community to have performances of Shakespeare's *Merchant of Venice* picketted. The paper also reported efforts being made to have Dickens' *Oliver Twist* and Chaucer's *Prioress's Tale* withdrawn from the "literary canon".

Other literary greats who come under the kosher cosh include George Eliot, Anthony Trollope and John Buchan, all of whom were attacked for the "negative Jewish stereotype" in their works. Theories on the Jews held by Matthew Arnold and H. G. Wells also came under critical scrutiny.

THE BLACKENING OF PARLIAMENT

IT NOW seems certain that there will be Black and Brown faces in the next Parliament. The Labour Party continues to be torn over the demand for racially-segregated Black Sections, seen by many Blacks as their way to get into Parliament. Blacks and Asians, being basically racialist and, unlike us natives, allowed to express such feelings, resent being represented by White and Jewish M.P s, and want their own, Coloured, M.P s. And, despite the fact that growing Black and Asian demands for their own sections of Establishment parties and their own M.P s are contrary to every principle of multi-racialism, the Establishment Parties seem prepared to give the Immigrants the apartheid they demand. After all, racemixing has always only been for Whites, in reality, anyway.

Already Haringey and Slough have Black Labour mayors. The Lord Mayor of Bradford, Mohammed Ajeeb, is a Labour Asian. All three are tipped as candidates in safe Labour seats at the next General Election. In Brent South, a safe Labour seat most of whose inhabitants are now Coloured, the sitting M.P., the Jew Laurie Pavitt, is retiring. All the leading contenders for the Labour nomination are Black. In Southall, the sitting M.P., the Jew Sidney Bidwell, is being challenged for the Labour nomination by the Asians who now make up most of his constituents, led by the maroon-turbanned Sardul Gill. Blacks and Asians are in the running in several other Labour seats.

For the Liberal–SDP Alliance, Zerbanoo Gifford came second in Hertfordshire in the 1983 Election. Next time the Alliance is expected to field more than the eight Coloured candidates it fielded then.

But the Tories already have a Coloured M.P. in Bristol East, Jonathan Sayeed, son of a Hyderabad doctor and hence impeccably bourgeois, however brown. Sarendra Popat, an American educated lawyer as well as Asian, nearly won Durham North for them last time too. And next time it seems likely Shantoo Ruparell, founder of the Anglo-Asian Conservative Society, will win largely-Immigrant Brent North.

Reactionaries may well imagine all this threat of Black faces on all sides of the next Parliament merely reflects the sad decline in Britain today. Surely, they may imagine, there would have been none of this in the "Good Old Days" of the jolly old Empire.

But they could not be more wrong. For it was in the Victorian heyday of Empire even though our country was still all White that the Establishment Parties sent the first Coloureds into the "Mother of Parliaments". In 1882, Dadabhai Naoroji, from Bombay, won Finsbury North for the Liberals. The Tories followed suit in 1895, when Sir Mancherjee Bhownagree won Bethnal Green. Perhaps because it was at first led by radical British nationalists, Labour did not have an Immigrant M.P. until 1922, when Shapurji Saklatvala won Battersea on a joint Labour/Communist Party ticket, Jewish Marxists having ousted British workers from control of Labour.

The truth is that all the major parties have always been multiracialist. The Empire was multiracial, and led to a multiracial Britain. The Establishment Parties put Immigrants in Parliament before, and they will do so again. The only golden age to dream about lies not in Britain's multiracialist past but her radical nationalist future.

WELL OILED AND LIKELY TO CRASH

THE OIL INDUSTRY has been peeping into the abyss again during 1985. In the middle of May, for instance, the price of a barrel of crude from Britain's Brent field dropped to below 26 dollars. The price quickly rose again but the dip was enough to revive the industry's recurring nightmare, which is that if the price slides too far the member states of Opec will be unable to resist the domestic pressures to make up their losses by boosting production. This would flood the market with oil and create an eddy in which prices would spiral all the way down to the cost price of crude, which is below 10 dollars a barrel for even the most expensive blends.

Meanwhile, the weakening of the dollar (which devalues Opec's dollar-dominated earnings) could have exactly the same effect. But even without a further collapse of the dollar, the nightmare is bound to come back again and again this summer with warmer weather in the northern hemisphere depressing demand.

There are two underlying causes of the present downward pressure on prices. One is that the world has learnt to get by with less energy so that even with the upturn in the world's fortunes which began in 1983 there was not more than a fractional increase in the demand for crude. The other reason is that most of the non-Opec countries are pumping out oil as fast as they can.

Britain's role in this cannot be overstressed. She may not be the biggest of the non-Opec producers – the US and USSR both have higher outputs – but output of itself is not as important as the proportion of that output which is traded internationally. In this respect, Britain is the market leader.

So what does all this mean for Britain? Quite simply it indicates Britain's total enslavement and dependence on international free market forces with regard to our oil production.

With regard to the undisputed and indisputable fact that Britain's very economic survival over the last decade or so has been due to the added wealth injected into our terminally sick economy by the revenue raised by North Sea Oil, it is equally obvious and indisputable that our whole economy will collapse should any of the market forces mentioned above come into play.



North Sea Oil: Will it save the British economy or will it destroy it.

If Opec countries instigate a price war over the price of crude oil, Britain's economy faces collapse.

If the value of the dollar continues to fall, Britain's economy faces collapse.

If the international demand for oil continues to fall, Britain's economy faces collapse.

In short, because Britain is hopelessly tangled up in the horror of the international capitalist system, her greatest national asset could become her greatest economic liability.

However, from a British Nationalist perspective an economic **liability** for the current corrupt rulers of the economic and political establishment, is an economic **opportunity** for the National Front.

A free fall in the cost price of crude oil would indeed have a traumatic effect on the world economy. Some of the world's mightiest corporations would be shaken to their very foundations. Several producer nations, which would almost certainly include Britain, would go bust and bring down with them the foreign banks and manufacturers to whom they are in debt. It is no exaggeration to speak of a '29 crash, but on a global scale.

Without doubt, such a crash would bring opportunities to revolutionary Nationalist movements in Britain and elsewhere to win power on a scale which, until now, we have only dreamed of. If such a collapse does carry the Nationalist movement to power, one of our first priorities must be to sever Britain's oil from the clutches of international capitalism. We must ensure that in a Nationalist Britain North Sea oil is used to rebuild the economy and not be the main cause of its destruction.

A WELL OILED PARTY MACHINE

THE ROW about the £180.000 donation from the British School of Motoring to the Liberal Party has highlighted the massive support given by British industry to the political parties which serve the vested interests of capitalism. Not surprisingly, the vast majority of these donators go to the Conservatives and it is the financial giant of the banking and insurance industries who give most.

In the last General Election year financial institutions provided £875,302 out of the £3,400,024 given to political parties and to a range of Conservative "front" groups such as Aims for Industry. £22,000 of all this went to the Alliance, the rest all went to the Tories.

The Norwich Union insurance group gave £10.000 each to the S.D.P., Liberals and Conservatives, "as a statement against the Labour Party". The Norwich Union's profits are payable to life assurance policy holders in the form of bonuses, so these political donations came out of the pockets of ordinary policy holders without them having any say in the matter.

Another insurance company which hedged its bets was the Commercial Union, which gave the Alliance £7,000 and the Tory front British United Industrialists £18,000, in spite of making a loss during the year. General Accident gave the Alliance a mere £5,000 compared with the £40,000 they gave the Tories.

The largest contribution from the financial sector was from the Guardian Royal Exchange, which gave a total of £79,234 to the Conservative Party and its various "fronts". This huge sum put the GRE on a par with the Hanson Trust and Allied Lyons, who gave £82,000 each, and not so far behind British Commonwealth and Shipping, whose £97,942 was the largest annual donation to any political party.

The Labour party did not receive one penny from such corporate sources, but considering it receives nearly £5 million every year from the trade unions Neil Kinnock can't really complain. In fact, the only people who do have a right to complain are the small shareholders and insurance policy holders whose money is given to the Conservatives without their permission, and the ordinary trade unionists who have to pay a political levy to the Labour Party whether they like it or not, since "contracting out" of this payment is difficult and wins the disfavour of Marxist shop stewards.

The Tories say they will stop such compulsory donations to Labour, and the Labour party say they will curb corporate donations to the Tories. The National Front will one day stop both. Political parties should be financed and controlled by their own members, not be bought and paid for by vested interest groups.

1957 – BEFORE THE STORM

A sensitive new volume of Nationalist poetry by Paul Comben. Send £1.00 plus 20p postage to: Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

PILLARS OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

NATIONALISM TODAY takes a look at the architecture which has vandalised the face of London and other cities.



Richard Seifert.

JUST AS ART reflects the health and morals of the age and order it springs from, so in its own way does architecture.

Throughout the ages certain styles are easily identifiable; the elegant Regency town house, Victorian, Gothic cathedrals and, much loved by Nationalists, Tudor beamed inns. They are all places where European man has lived, prayed and drank through the centuries. So it is nothing but fitting that the buildings which the present age will be remembered for will be the office block, and empty ones at that. (It is reckoned that spare capacity in offices is comparable to 32 Centre Points).

It is fitting in the modern world, where man no longer believes in anything, neither God nor nature, that the buildings which tower over us, which point to the sky, are in praise of finance Capital rather than the spiritual soul of European man.

Centre Point has become a symbol of the rotteness of the so-called property market of the early 1970's, and that of Harry Hymes in particular.

The architect Richard Seifert is also worthy of a closer look. In his fifty-year career he has designed more office blocks than anyone else in Britain, an incredible five hundred. It is not altogether surprising that although he seems to love high rise architecture, he lives in a luxury residence in good old Mill Hill.

INFAMOUS

Many of Seifert's designs were built in London. As well as the infamous Centre Point (now rather fittingly rented to the bosses' mafia, the CBI), he can also 'claim responsibility', in the same way a terrorist group claims a bombing, for some of the most soul-destroying monstrosities that London has to offer. There's the Wembley Conference Centre, the Nat West Tower (London's tallest and ugliest), Euston Square, Space House and London Bridge House; the unnacceptable face of capitalism in more ways than one.

But maybe we're being unkind to Mr Seifert, for it's not only office blocks which he designs. Long before he got into high rise vandalism the then young Mr Seifert designed a synagogue in North London. Sadly, although he liked it, the Luftwaffe didn't. A veritable war crime if ever there was.

The more philistine (no relation) amongst us might be forgiven for thinking that some of the old boys should come out of retirement for one last mission, starting with the Nat West Tower! But then again, if these cathedrals to Profit are built on as firm a foundation as the moral, cultural and financial system which spawned them in the first place it would simply be a case of 'atissue, atissue, **all fall down'.**



The Nat-West Tower.

Thatcher's crumbling castle



SIX YEARS of Tory rule have brought Britain to the brink of collapse and left millions of British people living in poverty and misery. As the Thatcher regime begins to crumble we look at the broken promises and heartless policies which have become the hallmark of modern governments.

Did she fool you too?

' WE Conservatives hate unemployment. We hate the idea of men and women not being able to use their abilities. We deplore the waste of our natural resources and the deep affront to people's dignity from being out of work through no fault of their own.

There's nothing inevitable about rising unemployment. The next Conservative government will reverse the job-destroying effects of heavy income taxes, capital taxes, and bad laws like Michael Foot's Employment Protection Act – the greatest deterrent to taking new staff on board since Noah had just one ark for the whole human race.

We are going to do it by creating real jobs, not artificial ones, so that once again the products stream from our factories and work shops while the customers of the world scramble to buy them . . . '

Promised you a miracle

A CUT in unemployment; less government domination of the economy, and lower taxes. These were the promises which first brought Maggie Thatcher to power in 1979. Since she has now had six years to fulfill these solemn election pledges. it is instructive to examine the Tories record to see how they've done.

* In 1979 an incompetent and internationalist Labour Government had left 1,600,000 out of work. Six years later, real unemployment stands at nearly 4,000,000.

* In 1979 government spending accounted for 39% of the Gross National Product and the Tories were squealing that Marxist state control was just around the corner. Six years later, government spending has increased to an all time high of 43% and the power of the State has increased in every area of public and private life.

* In 1979 high taxation under the Labour government was attacked by the Tories as destroying the incentive to work and creating unemployment. Six years later the overall burden of direct and indirect taxation is even higher than it was then, in spite of massive cuts in essential social services.

In fact, only one of Thatcher's 1979 promises has been kept at all. She said that she would break the trade unions and for the moment at least she has. But it is doubtful that many people would have voted for this if they had been able to see how this would be done. Her plan to smash the unions was quite simply to destroy the industries in which they were based. A visit to the cold steel furnaces of Shotton and Corby, or to the dying pit villages of Wales and the North will show that, just for once, the Tories have been true to their word.

Mugging the poor

WELFARE BENEFITS for the poorest sections of the British people will be cut by some £2,500 million in the Government's welfare review. Independent costings prepared by Commons library statisticians indicate that the poor have already lost nearly £10,000 million in benefits since the Tories came to power in 1979, while the rich have gained more than £6,000 million in tax cuts.

The new cuts are proposed in the green paper on the future of the social services just released by Norman Fowler, Social Services Secretary.

Cuts in housing benefit affecting more than 1.5 million people will claw back £750 million. Ending mortgage interest payments to the poorest families will cut £250 million. Scrapping one-off payments for items such as furniture and clothing for those on supplementary benefit will cut £158 million.

The end of supplementary benefit heating allowances will freeze large numbers of pensioners to death each winter, but it will save £400 million; while lower supplementary benefit for the unemployed after six months will save £500 million.

The overall total of £2.5 billion represents a shift of wealth from the poor to the rich on an unprecedented scale. That's Thatcher's idea of success.

SUPPORT THE RED HAND

The following two loyalist publications are available from: The Nationalist Bookshop, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. We recommend both to all our readers.

** ULSTER SENTINEL, produced in support of the North Belfast Independent Unionist Association. Send 30p for sample copy.*

** ULSTER, produced by the Ulster Information Service. Send 50p for sample copy.*

APARTHEID IS DEAD . . . BUT NOT BURIED

DURING MAY, the Mixed Marriages Act and Section 16 of the Immorality Act were repealed by P.W. Botha's Government in South Africa. The repeal of these laws makes mixed marriages between Whites and Blacks legal and represents the final nail in the coffin of the apartheid system in South Africa.

However, this latest move by Botha's government does far more than drive a nail into the coffin of apartheid; it confirms the present South African government as one of the sickest regimes in the world. South Africa has been under the control of powerful Zionist interests and it has been a staunch ally of the Israeli terror state. Its one saving grace was its apparent commitment to the preservation of a White South Africa. Now, following the repeal of the laws forbidding mixed marriages, even this one saving grace has been abandoned.

All that remains in South Africa now is a degenerate and corrupt form of petty apartheid which bans Blacks and Whites from mixing on buses but doesn't stop them from mixing their race. Such a system of 'apartheid' is sick and utterly indefensible.

There is, however, still one hope for the White man in South Africa and that hope comes in the form of the coalition between the H.N.P. and the Conservative Party, both of which oppose the repeal of the Mixed Marriages Act.

An example of the strength of this coalition came during a meeting which packed Pretoria City Hall to overflowing. The meeting gave unanimous backing to joint opposition to the repeals by the Herstigte Nasionale and Conservative Parties.

The respective CP and HNP leaders, Dr Andries Treurnicht and Mr Jaap Marais, condemned the South African Government and warned that abolition of the laws forbidding mixed marriages would be a major step towards integration and the end of the White man in South Africa.

Dr. Treurnicht said there was no difference between the CP and HNP when it came to fighting for the survival of the White man. Nor were there any differences in their determined opposition to the government of President Botha.

Without doubt, the future survival of South Africa as a White Nation will depend on the future success of these two parties. Botha and his Zionist masters may have hammered home the final nail in apartheid's coffin with the repeal of the laws on mixed marriages, but if apartheid is dead it isn't yet buried. We may yet see the resurrection of Racial Nationalism in South Africa . . .

P.W. Botha.

Blowing in the wind

AT THE same time that large crop increases are needed to feed growing populations, massive soil losses over vast sections of the globe are severely undermining crop productivity.

According to a report by the Worldwatch Institute, in many critical areas of the world, man's most precious physical resource – fertile topsoil – is being eroded, or blown away and destroyed faster than it is being renewed. In some areas the decline in soil fertility is being temporarily masked by improved crop varieties and the heavy use of chemical fertilizers.

According to the report, 44 per-cent of U.S. cropland is losing topsoil in excess of its renewal rate. In India – another critical food-producing area – topsoil losses are even worse. 60 per-cent of cropland is eroding excessively. And it is thought that the USSR is losing more topsoil than either of these countries.

In some areas of West Africa, losses of several inches of topsoil through mismanagement have cut crops by more than 50 per-cent.

Under pressure to produce more to feed soaring populations or to make financial ends meet, many farmers of the world are abandoning terracing, crop rotation and fallowing, all of which conserve soil resources. Marginal lands and steep hills are being plowed up, overgrazed or stripped of timber and vegetation. These areas quickly erode and lose fertility.

And the Worldwatch Institute's report had this chilling conclusion: "Because of the shortsighted way one-third to one-half of the world's croplands are being managed, the soils on these lands have been converted from a renewable to a non-renewable resource . . . Soil erosion will eventually lead to higher food prices, hunger and, quite possibly, persistent pockets of famine."

Farmer's Weakly

RONALD McDONALD REAGAN seems to be more concerned about the survival of the parasitic state of Israel then he is about the survival of America's family farms.

So instead of getting billions of dollars in aid like Israel does, US farmers are facing a 74 billion dollar cut in aid. And this comes at a time when tens of thousands of them are facing bankruptcy.

But Ronnie doesn't care.

However, things are different if you are, for instance, a big bank like Continental Illinois For the rich and powerful there is always a multi-billion dollar bail-out.

The truth is, that a nation that can afford to lose 14 billion dollars every year on a Martin Luther King birthday party, can certainly afford to pay more to those who produce the nation's food.

NEW NATION
ISSUE No. 7 OUT NOW!

*Steve Brady on British Roots * Nick Griffin looks at William Morris * Photo essay on the Women's War by Robert Hoy * G. Davies on Ideology * Dave Stevens looks at the Pre-Raphaelites **

If the Lebanese unite

THERE'S VICTORY IN SIGHT

AFTER almost three years of increasingly harsh occupation, the Israeli army withdrew from Tyre in Southern Lebanon at the end of April.

The Zionist withdrawal came in the wake of increasing resistance by an embittered Lebanese resistance movement that immediately declared it would continue its guerilla war against the Israelis even after their retreat from Tyre.

Indeed, the Israelis had not even completed their pullback to their new lines when – to the acute embarrassment of UN officers – dozens of gunmen emerged onto the streets of villages in the area nominally patrolled by UN troops and only just evacuated by the Israeli army.

In one such village – Maarake – one of the most prominent Lebanese resistance leaders spoke out openly about Lebanese intentions to push the Zionist occupation forces out of their country: "We will continue our struggle against the Israelis and their allies and the people who fight with Israel. We will get them out of Lebanese territory. Every village within the Israeli buffer area will fight against the Israelis. We'll struggle against them until they have left our country".

THE WAY FORWARD!

TRAINING FOR POWER

NICK GRIFFIN

THE NATIONAL FRONT has made a number of important advances in the last two or three years; this is a fact that has been recognised by our friends and foes alike, as well as by the few worthwhile Nationalists still outside the Party, a significant number of whom have rejoined us over the last few months. But there are some areas where progress has been slower and where much work is urgently needed. It is therefore important that we take the time to identify weak points and to make special efforts to remedy them.

The greatest achievements have been in the areas of written propaganda and ideological development. The range, quality and effectiveness of our publications are the envy of many much larger and wealthier organisations all over the world. We are getting a constructive and positive view of Nationalism over to more people than ever before. The energy put into ideological development in recent years has helped to create a more united Party and to increase members' committment to the Cause. It has also helped to raise the standard of the contents of our publications and to give them a coherence and vision which is raising our credibility and the quality of recruits.

But the propaganda which is needed to spread our ideology must have a vehicle for its production and distribution, and for the assimilation of new members. We must have an efficient and self-sufficient organisation.

In spite of our limited resources, major steps have been taken towards this goal. We have acquired typesetting and printing facilities, video equipment and silk-screen printing gear. We have greatly increased the practical skills of our full-time and part-time staff. We have initiated regular literature runs and made many administrative improvements, most recently the purchase of a computer, which will be a great advance in terms of efficiency and security.

There is still much more to be done, but the present leadership and staff is simply too small to cope with more jobs. Good ideas have to wait in cold storage because there is no-one with the technical ability free to put them into practice. New potential leaders are emerging and taking on responsibility, but they will be needed to take on the work of senior members threatened under the Race Act. Quite simply, we need more people. Great ideals and stirring slogans are essential, but they are no use without the manpower to turn them into reality.

DEDICATED

To build a revolutionary organisation, we need first to build a revolutionary cadre body – in simple English: highly dedicated political middle management. We have a large number of activists who are 100% dedicated; the problem is that for years no real attempt has been made to develop their **talents** to the same extent as their **enthusiasm.** The National Front's efforts at membership training have been woefully inadequate.

To give a specific example, I joined the NF in 1974. Between then and the early 1980's there were (excluding a few talks on fighting elections) **three** day-long training seminars – not per year, or in one region, but over the whole of Britain, in something like eight years!

Although I set-up the YNF Student Organisation in 1978, no-one thought to teach me even the basics of producing a leaflet or a simple bulletin. The same was true of literally hundreds of local and regional officials, keen but inexperienced, who were asked to take on responsibility without any kind of training. "Sink or swim!" Many, naturally enough, sank.



A recent National Front seminar in the countryside. Lectures on ideology and strategy are interspersed with football and campfire socials.

This wasn't because there was no need for proper training programmes. The rapid yet shallow-rooted growth of the Party made it crystal clear that they were vital. Nor was it because the few which were held were not effective, because in fact they each achieved a great deal.

The potential was clearly there, but no attempt was made to follow it up. This was due partly to leadership inexperience, partly to laziness and partly to the fact that "exciting" short-term activities like marches were always given priority. But an important factor was also the desire of several former "leaders" to secure their positions and remain indispensible by making sure that no-one else received enough training to enable them to become a "threat".

While the decline of our country went on apace, the National Front thus had its hands tied behind its back.

MASSIVE IMPROVEMENTS

Fortunately, this unacceptable situation has been remedied. Things are changing fast. Massive improvements have already been made by the Education and Training Department, chaired by Joe Pearce.

The first step was to arrange a series of ten monthly seminars on aspects of NF ideology. These have been held regularly throughout this year in both London and Birmingham. Subjects covered have included *Nationalist Economics; Race and Racial Differences; Understanding Capitalism,* and the need for a *Back to the Land* policy. It is hoped to run this course again in the north of England and to produce a book of essays based on the lectures.

Day long seminars have been held in the North West and North East, covering everything from ideology to strategy and the production of local propaganda. A package of cassette tapes and lecture notes produced from these seminars are available for members in isolated areas who have been unable to attend the real thing. It is hoped that training videos on Branch organisation will follow shortly.

Some units have introduced discussion group evenings for their own activists.

although this technique is still not being used in enough areas. Organisers unsure of how to set up such activities should ask the Branch Liaison Department for advice and assistance. Local units are also now able to call on the Publicity Department to provide intensive training on how to produce their own bulletins and leaflets.

Several major weekend seminars were held at camps in the countryside last summer. To give an idea of the benefits of these, and to dispel the lurid fantasies of *Searchlight*, the following is the agenda for the first major training activity of this year, the YNF Whitsun camp:

Friday.		Small working party gets in provisions, pitches tents, etc. Main group arrives in minibuses from London in the late evening. Campfire social with beer and song-sheets.
Saturday.	8.00a.m.	Morning run.
	9.00a.m.	Breakfast.
	10.00a.m.	*The U.S.A.: Coca-Cola or White Revolution?* Lectures and discussions led by Nick Griffin and American visitor Gary Rossi.
	11.00a.m.	*Local organisation and effective paper selling.*
	11.45a.m.	Football.
	1.30p.m.	Pub lunch.
	2.30p.m.	Return to camp.
	3.00p.m.	*Getting Out of the Ghetto.* Joe Pearce on community politics and involvement with other organisations. Followed by discussion.
	4.00p.m.	*Holding local activities and winning publicity.* Nick Griffin and Paul Nash.
	5.00p.m.	Free time.
	7.00p.m.	Dinner.
	8.00p.m.	Walk to country pub. Followed by campfire social 'til late.
Sunday.	9.00a.m.	Morning run.
	10.00a.m.	Breakfast.
	11.00a.m.	*What We Want and Why.* Discussion groups on NF policy and ideology.
	12.00p.m.	Football and optional swimming on beach.
	2.30p.m.	Lunch.
	3.30p.m.	*Readings from the "Visionary" issue of* **NT**, with questions and discussion.
	4.30p.m.	*The Spiritual Revolution.* Joe Pearce on the significance of our struggle and the qualities needed for victory.
	5.30p.m.	Clear area and pack.
	6.30p.m.	Return home.

All in all, a very busy and constructive weekend. Everyone who attended agreed that they had learnt a lot from it, building up comradeship with fellow Nationalists and physical fitness, as well as ideological knowledge and practical political ability.

There will be more of such activities throughout the summer, including in-depth follow-up seminars for those who have already attended earlier ones. More specialised training here will be aimed at enabling activists to take on more responsibility and perform tasks vital to the expansion of the National Front.

With a 68% increase in recruitment levels this year, there is also an urgent need for introductory camps for keen new members to attend crash courses on the history and aims of the NF and the things they can do to help to build it. These activities will be held for small groups chosen on a regional basis. Regional organisers will be responsible for arranging a good turn-out of the best newcomers in their area. If you wish to attend such an event, make sure that your Branch or Group organiser knows, or write direct to Head Office for further details.

Under canvass at a YNF Training Seminar

HELP NEEDED

Other members and supporters may be able to help the National Front's vital Education and Training programme in other ways. We now have several sites available for seminars and summer schools. Two of them have indoor accomodation and under cover facilities for use in bad weather. But there is still plenty of work to be done on these and any building materials, such as wood and plasterboard, would be gratefully received. So too would offers of weekend help by skilled workmen, particularly carpenters, plasterers and electricians.

In addition, tents and other camping equipment are also needed to allow us to cope with larger numbers of people. The Party recently purchased a number of tents (these, incidently, are available for hire by local units arranging their own camping trips), but if any supporters have tents which they no longer use and would be prepared to donate, they would be much appreciated.

The same is true of any other camping equipment, such as portable stoves and lamps, cooking utensils and ice boxes, sleeping bags, mattresses and blankets. Office equipment such as typewriters, or furniture such as bunk-beds and trestle tables would be invaluable. Footballs, weights, bicycles and other unwanted sports equipment would make a big difference to the leisure activities we could organise as well.

In short, if there is anything which you don't want and think the National Front could use, please let us know by writing or filling in the form below. We will gladly arrange to collect if necessary.

Every such asset, however small in itself, will save the National Front money and make our training programme that bit more professional.

And that's what we've got to be; because a professional organisation of highly dedicated and well-trained National Revolutionaries is the only thing that stands a chance of saving our Nation and our Race.

JOIN US!

I am pleased to see that the NF is putting real effort into a serious and sustained programme of membership education and would like to help in the following ways:

* BY ATTENDING. I am . . . and have been an NF member since . . . . . (please give age and when you joined the Party).

* BY DONATING THE FOLLOWING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT OR SKILLS:

  . . . . . .

  . . . . . .

  . . . . . .

* BY HELPING TO FINANCE THE ACTIVITIES. I enclose a donation of £ . to help with the work of the Education and Training Department (cheques payable to "National Front").

NAME . . . . . .

ADDRESS . . . . . .

. . . . . .

Send to: The Chairman, Education and Training Department, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

MNR–The *REAL* Nationalism



Derek Holland and Fabrice Saulais examine a radical nationalist alternative to France's Front National.

IN ISSUE 29 of *Nationalism Today*, we printed side by side two articles discussing Front National (FN), the party headed by Jean-Marie Le Pen which has received extensive coverage in the British media. The two articles put forward essentially contradictory points of view: the one declared that the FN was worthy of National Front support; the other denied this saying that the FN was a force for conservative Reaction.

However, it is essential that our readership does not gain the impression that in our overseas relations, we are limited to a choice between Front National and nothing. This is not the case. Whatever position one adopts vis a vis Front National, and I am personally of the opinion that it is a reactionary, right wing Tory organisation, the fact remains that there is a nationalist movement in France which is worthy of our support. It is assuredly not as well known as the FN, but then notoreity is hardly the surest guide to Principle.

A little background will prove instructive. French nationalism, until the electoral breakthrough of Front National, was basically divided into two camps: Front National and the Parti des Forces Nouvelles (PFN). There were other groups outside these two, some ideologically sound, but they were not in the big league. For some years the FN and the PFN jockeyed with one another for preeminence; it was only when millions of francs were injected into the FN by a wealthy benefactor that the struggle became one sided, and led to the rapid dissolution of the PFN. The bulk of the latter joined the FN, not because they identified with the conservative leadership of Le Pen, but because they thought a concerted effort within the party by militant nationalists would result in positive benefits. How far they have been successful is a matter for conjecture. A PFN minority, perhaps one third of the membership, felt in all conscience that they couldn't be involved with the FN. As a result they joined the MNR which appeared to offer the best hope for radical French nationalism.

SYNOPSIS

The author of the following text, Fabrice Saulais, is a former leader of the PFN youth wing, a body which saw militant action on the streets a couple of summers ago. A personable and intelligent young man he provides us here with a synopsis of the MNR.

Founded in 1979, on February 11th to be precise, the date of the shameful Yalta Agreement which sold out Eastern Europe to Communist tyranny, the MNR – the National Revolutionary Movement – is a French organisation of an essentially new kind. Assuredly, the MNR belongs, at least implicitly, to the French tradition of Populist Nationalism and non-marxian Socialism. It does not identify itself explicitly with any ideology of the past, but it is stamped strongly by these tendencies.

The distinguishing characteristics of the MNR is in its roots; from the outset, it refused all compromise with the conservative Right, with reactionary clericalism, and with French middle class jingoism. Its nationalism is one that calls upon us to fight for a virile Europe free of the Super Powers.



Which is the true face of French Nationalism? Militant national revolutionary students or Conservative Jean-Marie Le Pen?

Over the years, especially since 1982 – 83, the MNR has succeeded in drawing together all the young and dynamic elements of French nationalism, leaving minute coteries, which are sectarian and biologically racist, moribund and stagnating on the fringes of Mr Le Pen's Front National. Being in profound disagreement with a Front National which is pro-American, jingoist, socially conservative and economically liberal, nationalist youth in France are increasingly allying themselves with the MNR, the most coherent and radical of movements.

The leadership of the MNR consists of the following: Bertrand Burgalat (Press Officer), Yves Raketitch (Party Secretary), Fabrice Saulais (Chief of the Student Organisation), Jean-Francois Cosse (Branch Liaison) and Alain Lamotte (Deputy Chairman). The Chairman is Jean-Gilles Malliarakis, a 41 year-old who has written two books: one is devoted to the subject of Yalta; the other, entitled *Neither Corporations nor Soviets* outlines the ideological perspectives of the movement.

THE THIRD WAY

After appearing for 7 years as *Jeune Nation Solidariste* (United Young Nation), the magazine of the MNR changed the title in January 1985 to *Troisieme Voie* (The Third Way). With this name the MNR is seeking to unify in stages all those militant activists who, in France, regard themselves as anti-American and anti-Soviet, anti-capitalist and anti-marxist – to make them positively in favour of a Nationalist ideal based upon the current 19 Point Programme of the MNR.

Amongst these points, worthy of notice is a strong desire for social and economic reform, based upon worker participation, shared management and, eventually, co-ownership in large enterprises. The privileges of the financial oligarchy etc. in France will be abolished. In addition, the MNR is committed to a novel form of regionalism, one that not only differs from that of the regional separatists, but also from that of Parisian centralism.

Upon this foundation, the MNR has developed mainly in the Ile de France, but is also organised in the North, in Brittany, in Poitou, Lorraine, Limousin and so on. It is interesting to note that, unlike classic movements of the extreme right, the MNR has made little headway in the areas on the Mediterranean coast.

As far as immigration is concerned, the MNR is certainly in favour of the repatriation of all unassimilable immigrants (that is, non-Europeans, including French citizens from overseas), but it rejects race hating campaigns.

With regard to youth, a separate organisation has been set up, Jeune Garde, (Young Guard) which publishes the paper *Vanquish.* This body held its first organisational conference on May 11th 1985, the eve of the National feast day of St Joan of Arc; in future the MNR intends to celebrate this day under the slogan: "Let's get the Yanks out of Europe".

Until now the MNR has stood aloof from all electoral campaigns; now the amendment initiated by the socialists will allow us to participate, from 1985 onwards, in the counties and regions with the fixed objective of running a candidate for the Presidency in 1988.

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

Keep your NT's in style! Special top quality binders are now available, each with the words *Nationalism Today* gold blocked on the spine.

Available for only £5.50 each inclusive of postage,

Send to: Nationalism Today, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

GARY ROSSI

THE BUYING OF MR. CHURCHILL

WINSTON CHURCHILL'S attitude toward the Jews was strangely ambivalent. That he was both drawn toward them and repulsed by them can be seen in his 1920 *Sunday Herald* article entitled Zionism vs. Bolshevism in which he divided the Jews into three categories: the good Jew, or National Jew; the bad Jew, or International Jew; and the Zionist. He blamed the Bolshevik Revolution on the International Jews who led it, and he warned British Jews that if they could not be National Jews, i.e. Englishmen first, then they had better be Zionists because England would not tolerate Bolshevism. Churchill did not oppose Zionism because the Zionists were encouraging anti-national Jews to leave Britain and settle in their own homeland.

This article caused Churchill a lot of trouble with the Jewish community in England, as the Jews claimed the freedom to propound any doctrine they wished, and they denied that they had inspired the Russian Revolution. Churchill did not help himself any with the Jews when in 1922, as the Colonial Secretary, he released a White Paper which advocated a Jewish homeland in Palestine, but one in which Arab rights would be guaranteed. This brought howls from both the Jews and the Arabs. But the controversy suited Churchill's purpose, as he wanted to see the Middle East remain under British control. He wanted to delay as long as possible the day when free and independent Arab and Jewish states were created out of Britain's domain.

DICHOTOMY

Churchill liked good Jews and disliked bad Jews. This Good Jew/Bad Jew dichotomy appears in a conversation that Churchill had with Putzi Hanfstaengl in 1932. Hanfstaengl was close to Hitler and thought that Churchill might want to meet him. But during their talk Churchill said, "*Why is your chief so violent about the Jews? I can quite understand being angry with Jews who have done wrong or are against the country, and I understand resisting them if they try to monopolize power in any walk of life; but what is the sense of being against a man simply because of his birth?*"

Churchill knew many "good Jews". He had several very wealthy Jewish friends both in England and in America. In the 1920's the American Jewish millionaire Bernard Baruch advised Churchill to invest his money in the United States rather than Britain because he would get a better return on it. Winston, being the great British patriot that he was, thereupon took his money out of Britain and invested virtually his entire fortune in American common stocks. In 1929, he toured America in the private railroad cars of Bernard Baruch and another Jewish millionaire, Charles Schwab; and he arrived in New York in October 1929, just in time to see the Stock Market crash and his fortune go down the drain.

But this did not undermine Churchill's faith in his Jewish friends. On the contrary, from 1930 to 1937 he continued to invest the money he earned from his writings in American stocks, relying on the advice of Bernard Baruch. Winston said that Baruch was "*the greatest financier that there ever has been.*" But poor Winston's faith was misplaced, and by 1937 he was broke again, and worse he owed his stockbrokers £18,000, which at the time was an enormous sum of money.

DESPAIR

In despair Churchill put his lavish estate up for sale and confided to his friend, MP Brendan Bracken, that he was retiring from politics to write, as he needed the money. Bracken contacted a Jewish friend of his named Sir Henry Strakosh, and Strakosh immediately offered not only to pay the £18,000 debt but to manage Churchill's portfolio and to pay any further liability that might arise. Strakosh wanted Churchill to continue to devote himself to his crusade against Nazi Germany. From that point on Churchill felt comfortable that his personal financial welfare was in the hands of a "good Jew".

Churchill was by no means ungrateful to Bracken and Strakosh. In fact, gratitude was one of Churchill's greatest virtues. Churchill appointed Bracken to the Privy Council in 1940 over the strong objections of King George VI, made him Minister of Information in 1941 and First Lord of the Admiralty in 1945. To pay his debt to Strakosh, Churchill immediately stepped up his attacks on Germany and Hitler, using the most personal invective. In 1938, he said "*Hitler is like a snake; when he wants to eat his victims he first covers them with saliva.*" This was the same Churchill who had previously said of Hitler, "*If our country were defeated, I hope we should find a champion as indomitable to restore our courage and lead us back to our place among the nations.*" Strakosh's money had indeed done its work well.

Winston Churchill. Bought and paid for.

ILLUSTRATED SUNDAY HERALD, FEBRUARY 8, 1920. Page 5.

ZIONISM versus BOLSHEVISM.

A STRUGGLE FOR THE SOUL OF THE JEWISH PEOPLE.

By the Rt. Hon. WINSTON S. CHURCHILL.

SOME people like Jews and some do not; but no thoughtful man can doubt the fact that they are beyond all question the most formidable and the most remarkable race which has ever appeared in the world.

Disraeli, the Jew Prime Minister of England, and Leader of the Conservative Party, who was always true to his race and proud of his origin, said on a well-known occasion: "The Lord deals with the nations as the nations deal with the Jews." Certainly when we look at the miserable state of Russia, where of all countries in the world the Jews were the most cruelly treated, and contrast it with the fortunes of our own country, which seems to have been so providentially preserved amid the awful perils of these times, we must admit that nothing that has since happened in the history of the world has falsified the truth of Disraeli's confident assertion.

Good and Bad Jews.

The conflict between good and evil which proceeds unceasingly in the breast of man nowhere reaches such an intensity as in the Jewish race. The dual nature of mankind is nowhere more strongly or more terribly exemplified. We owe to the Jews in the Christian revelation a system of ethics which, even if it were entirely separated from the supernatural, would be incomparably the most precious possession of mankind, worth in fact the fruits of all other wisdom and learning put together. On that system and by that faith there has been built out of the wreck of the Roman Empire the whole of our civilisation.

Mr. Churchill inspecting his old regiment, the 4th Hussars, at Aldershot last week.

The National Russian Jews, in spite of the disabilities under which they have suffered, have managed to play an honourable and useful part in the national life even of Russia. As bankers and industrialists they have strenuously promoted the development of Russia's economic resources, and they were foremost in the creation of those remarkable organisations, the Russian Co-operative Societies [illegible] these supports has been [illegible]

like Bukharin or Lunacharski cannot be compared with the power of Trotsky, or of Zinovieff, the Dictator of the Red Citadel (Petrograd), or of Krassin or Radek—all Jews. In the Soviet institutions the predominance of Jews is even more astonishing. And the prominent, if not indeed the principal, part in the system of terrorism applied by the Extraordinary Commissions for Combating Counter-Revolution [illegible] or four

people, most of whom are themselves sufferers from the revolutionary régime. It becomes, therefore, specially important to foster and develop any strongly-marked Jewish movement which leads directly away from these fatal associations. And it is here that Zionism has such a deep significance for the whole world at the present time.

A Home for the Jews.

Zionism offers the third sphere to the political conceptions of the Jewish race. In violent contrast to international communism, it presents to the Jew a national idea of a commanding character. It has fallen to the British Government, as the result of the conquest of Palestine, to have the opportunity and the responsibility of securing for the Jewish race all over the world a home and a centre of national life. The statesmanship and historic sense of Mr. Balfour were prompt to seize this opportunity. Declarations have been made which have irrevocably decided the policy of Great Britain. The fiery energies of Dr. Weissmann, the leader, for practical purposes, of the Zionist project, backed by many of the most prominent British Jews, and supported by the full authority of Lord Allenby, are all directed to achieving the success of this inspiring movement.

Of course, Palestine is far too small to accommodate more than a fraction of the Jewish race, nor do the majority of national Jews wish to go there. But if, as may well happen, there should be created in our own lifetime by the banks of the Jordan a Jewish State under the protection of the British [illegible] or four

Before he sold out to Jewish financial interests Winston Churchill wrote this article attacking sections of the Jewish population in the Illustrated Herald.

JOIN THE NATIONALIST BOOK CLUB

Members can buy books at low prices and enjoy other benefits. Write to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF for details.

THE WHITE INTERNATIONAL

An interview with Tom Metzger

During his recent visit to America Nick Griffin took the opportunity to interview Tom Metzger, a Nationalist leader operating from California. Amongst other things Mr Metzger runs a cable television channel which gives viewers a chance to hear the Nationalist viewpoint. We are pleased to be able to publish this interview with one of America's leading Nationalists and we offer him our best wishes for the future.

Q. Mr. Metzger, when was the White American Resistance founded and what is your position in the organisation?
A. I created the White American Political Association in 1980. Some months later the W.A.R. label was given to our bi-monthly newspaper. As time went on, the name White American Resistance began to be more widely accepted and White American Political Assn. took a lesser role.
Q. You were active in the racialist movement before then and gained some very high election votes. Could you tell our readers when and where that was, what the result was and what issues gained your support? What lessons for the future did you learn from those days?
A. In 1976 I ran for a local County Supervisor's office and received 10,000 votes. In 1980 I ran as a Democrat for the U.S. Congress District in the county, approximately 10,000 square miles. I won the Congressional primary election with 44,000 votes. In the General election I received 55,000 votes, unfortunately not enough to win the election. In 1982 I ran for U.S. Senate in California against Governor Jerry (Fruit Fly) Brown, author Gore Vidal and a host of others. This time the news media ignored me, however, I still received 74,000 votes.

The primary issues in the campaigns were anti-immigration (Third World invasion); the campaign stressed a worker oriented programme; I championed the family farmer and exposed massive corruption in the Federal Farm Home Loan programmes, in which millions of dollars that were to go to small farmers were funneled into millionaire agri-business corporations. Also I supported the removal of standing U.S. armies in Europe. In otherwords, a platform of not meddling in other countries affairs. I was called an isolationist, to me it was a good neighbour policy.

These campaigns were very rewarding in self-education. It was made very obvious that the conservative movement in the U.S. is a fraud. Conservatives are primarily interested in conserving wealth. My candidacy was attacked bitterly by the leadership of the Conservative movements. My support came from blue collar workers and small business people, senior citizens, etc. I learned that we must abandon all hope of working with the conservative movement and must strike a new path to victory. This path must shun the right and left, conservative or liberal nonsense and be White Nationalists, first, last and always. If that fails, we must be White tribalists.
Q. In what areas and with what activities does W.A.R. (and related groups) now operate?
A. W.A.R. publishes several thousand newspapers on a bi-monthly basis. A continuing programme of literature distribution is ongoing throughout the West Coast of the United States. We now have our own television programme that is seen by large numbers of viewers in California and many other states. The programmes are available to activists everywhere for a reasonable donation on VHS cassette tape. The name of the programme is "Race and Reason". We interview White Nationalists from all over the U.S., plus others such as Moslem Fundamentalists, Libertarian Anarchists, Free-Thinkers and many more. We have also developed a public, home computer programme.
Q. Could you tell us more about your computer operation?
A. The Computer Bulletin Board service has attracted untold numbers of White youth. Young people and old alike, for a minimum expense, are able to log on the computer to keep up on the news of the day as seen from a White worker's point of view. Our computers link with other such computer services around the country. This includes Canada, which has been trying to figure a way of stopping flow of information into their country, but thus far are helpless.
Q. You are clearly keen on using new technology to further our struggle. What role do you see it playing in the future?
A. W.A.R. also maintains telephone machines around the West Coast in most major cities that, 24 hours a day, constantly puts out our message to those who are sympathetic to our cause. These machines have counters on them and at certain times count hundreds of calls per 24 hour period. The use of technology is a major weapon in our arsenal. If we are to lead, we must show the intelligence to lead. One does not use 19th Century weapons to fight a 21st Century war. The amusing thing is that mass proliferation of technology is the greatest boon to freedom of speech and anti-system activity ever. Computers are now sold in toy stores that out-perform computers that filled an entire building just a few years ago.

Let's face it, television is a great weapon used against us and we must do everything to turn it around on the state; also there are many pirate radio stations that are springing up. We support all such activity.
Q. Your publications show that you take what we in Britain call a "radical Nationalist" stand in favour of the White worker and opposing Capitalism. How would you describe your ideological position and what are your main policies?
A. Our ideological position as an association is not totally dictated; we have much room for healthy disagreement. You will find our main ideas to be tied to White racial survival and expansion. We are highly critical of our system as it has been corrupted by the greed

Tom Metzger with three of his six children.

ethic. We view our number one enemy to be our own government, along with a corrupted, wealthy White leadership that is not fit to lead. Our goal of complete racial separation puts us on a collision course with establishment big Corporation policies. We do not support the draft nor the enlistment of White men and women into foreign economic wars that have seriously depleted our gene pool. W.A.R. believes its war is right here on our own soil and nowhere else. We are territorialists as so aptly described in Robert Ardrey's great works. Our movement damns equally Marxism and Capitalism.

We oppose increased nuclear armament and the exporting of such weapons to be based on the shores of our White kindred in other lands. Our reason is simple. Any nuclear war between Russia and the U.S.A. will totally destroy the homelands of White Russians, White Europeans, White Britons and White North Americans, thus returning to the planet of the apes with Mr T as imperial leader of what's left.
Q. What kind of people are you finding respond to this approach?
A. Our organisation contains those of many backgrounds. Christian, Atheist, Agnostic, former left, former right, former conservative, they all work together very nicely. We feel it is far too late to take any other than a radical Nationalist or Tribalist stand. Our enemy, the state, has closed the noose so tight that we have become virtually a state within a state. There will be no coming to terms with the system we face. Either we win or they win. Our recruits come from all walks of life, but in general are young, intelligent and angry.
Q. The White Student Union is also based in California. Could you tell us a little about its history and current position and the relationship between the W.S.U. and the W.A.R.?
A. Many of the most trusted lieutenants began with street action, side by side, over the years. Several were as young as 17 when we first met. Many of these men now are in their twenties with families. One such young man is Gregory Withrow of Sacramento, California. Greg took charge of our Sacra-

mento,. California area about three years ago when he started college. He had an idea to found the White Student Union for the betterment of White students in general. I agreed it was a great idea. W.A.R. has supported the W.S.U. since its inception, however, those of us who are older advise when asked, but do not meddle in a true youth movement. My own sons John and Tim, both 17, are both Southern California officers in the W.S.U. Along with Gregory Withrow, founder of the W.S.U., my sons have appeared on television several times and have been the subject of many news articles. W.S.U. regularly floods the schools with White racialist material.

Q. You are clearly working hard to build things up on the West Coast. How do you see W.A.R. developing in the future? Do you intend to continue operating locally, to link up with like-minded groups in a nationwide organisation or to spread W.A.R. over the whole of America?

A. We believe in working with all groups and individuals who intelligently struggle for the same basic goals we do. This does not mean taking other groups over or forcing our will on them. We believe true leadership attracts followers without counterproductive in-fighting. North America is far too large to have a central authority, if we are to be a healthy movement. At present the U.S. is being Balkanized and many racial factions are struggling for power. I see leadership as regional at best, perhaps even smaller in area. A Council of Warrior leaders working together, but taking care of an area that is realistically practical to administer. I am sure you have your major-domos over there also, as we do here, who see themselves as the one and only answer to our problem. These types are dinosaurs and not in tune with the requirements of this present struggle. This type is quickly being outflanked by realistic leadership.

Q. Briefly, what developments do you expect to see in the racial and political situation in the next twenty years or so?

A. The future is quite simple. If the present systems of the White nations grow stronger and more corrupt, we grow inversely weaker and nations grow stronger and more corrupt, we grow inversely weaker and hope dwindles. However, I see it simply as the swift deterioration of these corrupt systems. The faster the deterioration of their power means faster emergence of our power. There can be no co-existence. Corrupt states that try to please everyone please no one and hasten their loss of control over populations. Out of these populations arise natural leadership far greater than what is presently on the scene. Barring nuclear war, this will come to pass. In the meantime we are like the little Dutch boy with his finger in the dyke of racial Nationalism or like the Roman soldier encased in lava at Pompeii, refusing to leave his post. Please read Jack London's "The Iron Heel", it's all there.

Q. What dangers and opportunities will these changes bring for the National Revolutionary movement?

A. As far as dangers there are only opportunities. I have six children, which continues my genetic immortality. Many will fall, but its great to know if you have to go down fighting, you will have gone down for the greatest and most basic cause that exists – the proliferation of your kind.

WHITE WORKERS POWER!

The last in the present series of 'White Workers Power' looks at the White Revolt on the Rand in 1922. This article was originally published in the South African Patriot *to whom we give our acknowledgements.* S.A. Patriot *is available from the Nationalist Bookshop, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Price 50p plus 20p postage.*

White Workers of the World Unite and Fight for a White South Africa – slogan of the Boksburg Kommando during the 1922 syndicalist revolt on the Rand.

IN NOVEMBER 1921, the Chamber of Mines (owned by Anglo-Jewish, Rothschild-Oppenheimer interests) announced that the 1918 status quo agreement (which allocated job and wage categories to White and Black mine workers and which had been negotiated with the White Mine Workers Union) was to be unilaterally abandoned.

On 28th December, the Chamber of Mines announced that 25 semi-skilled job levels held by Whites would be given to Blacks, and that the redundant Whites would be mass-fired. Under government pressure, the Chamber gave assurance that the *first* step would be limited to mass-firing 2,000 White workers.

At the same time, coalmine owners announced serious wage cuts, as did engineering firms, while the Victoria Falls power station turned down wage increases. Fisher, Secretary of the Mine Workers Union – a Communist elected on a fraudulent ballot – formed a Miners Council of Action and called for a general strike. However, events soon passed out of Communist hands.

The Rand workers broke into three main camps:

1) Labour Party moderates led by Crawford, president of the South African Industrial Federation.

2) Afrikaner Mynwerkersbond – Afrikaner *bywoners* (poor Whites, farmers impoverished by the British scorched-earth tactics in the Anglo-Boer War and forced into mines) – Hertzogite Afrikaner Nationalists to a man. Many were veterans of the 1914 pro-German bywoner revolt crushed by renegade ex-Boers Botha and Smuts and many were also ex-Kommando Boers from the 1899–1902 Second South African War of Liberation. When armed commandos were formed on the Rand, they were joined by their gun-toting cousins from the farm districts.

3) Communist Party – led by Fisher, Shaw and Spendiff. Their influence wanned so drastically that when the miners floated the slogan "Keep South Africa White", the Communists were forced opportunistically to support it (rationalizing among themselves that *after* the revolt they would challenge the colour bar. The Communist Party of South Africa is still to this day embarrassed by any mention of the Revolt.)

BALLOTED

Having balloted its members, the South African Industrial Federation (SAIF) brought out the coal miners, goldminers, engineers and power workers on the Rand and nearby areas. Backing the action were the Labour Party and the National Party. On the 14th of January, 1922, the Afrikaans newspaper, *Die Vaderland* carried a letter by Tielman Roos, leader of the Transvaal Nationalists, urging Active Citizen Force members to disobey any call-up.

PM Smuts urged negotiations, but the Chamber of Mines refused and instead demanded a new work ratio of only 2 Whites to every 21 Blacks – meaning many more thousands of fired Whites. Fisher of the Mine Workers Union now called for a Red International of Labour Unions linked to Moscow, but had few takers.

On the 30th January, the opposition National and Labour parties opened an extra-legal "parliament" to debate the issue. On February 5th., R.B. Waterston called for the proclamation of an Independant South African Republic.

COMMUNISTS GAOLED

Communists Fisher and Shaw were jailed. Crawford of the SAIF authorized the creation of a strike commando to stop Black scabs, but at the same time the SAIF turned down a general strike call. PM Smuts now caved-in to his mineowner masters and ordered all mines open and all strikers back to work. In response, the Miner Councils of Action deployed their commandos throughout the Rand. Smuts declared them unlawful and ordered police action against them. Three Whites were killed at Boksburg. Hertzog's National Party demanded an inquiry in Parliament. On the 2nd. March, the SAIF requested new negotiations, but the Chamber refused. Only then did the SAIF proclaim a general strike. Armed commandos seized Johannesburg and declared a White Workers Republic.

Mine officials, straw-bosses and scabs were executed, including 30 Black scabs. Smuts mobilized the Active Citizen Force, declared martial law and launched his forces. The ACF backed by volunteer commando and the S.A. Air Force attacked Johannesburg and the Rand. Massive bomber raids levelled the miner's quarters. On 14th March, 1922, the strike HQ at Benoni was stormed and the strike leaders declared "suicides". The last resistance was quashed by 16th March.

In 1924, however, Hertzog's National Party (with support from the Labour Party) was elected to power. Job reservation was strengthened on the statute book, particularly in the mines – the strikers of 1922 had still won a famous victory.

We are grateful to Mr. John Jewell of P.O. Box 285, Station H, Toronto, Ontario M4C 5J2, Canada, for researching this article.

You can't be sure of Shell



REMEMBER that ever-so-nice advertising campaign Shell ran last year? All those lovely fields, and all the panoramic vistas of rural Britain which kindly Shell had miraculously left untouched whilst laying oil-pipelines and building refineries?

Shell's new gas liquids plant at Mossmorran, Fife was the centrepiece of their campaign. *"We are eager"*, warbled the Shell ad, exuding environmental consciousness from every sub-clause, *"that the new Mossmorran plant's presence should be of minimum disturbance to the natural environment . . . . wherever possible, the plant is tucked into the contours of the countryside . . . . from many aspects you could be quite near it and not even spot it."*

If you were to believe Shell's adverts, prepared by agency Ogilvie & Mather, a ploughed field topped by a small, tree-covered hillock is all that is visible of the plant.

The reality is pictured above. Even that is less than half of the plant. Part of the complex is visible from Edinburgh, 10 miles away. When 200 hundred foot flames shoot from its chimneys into the air it would take a blind man, or an Ogilvie & Mather photographer, to miss them.

So how did Ogilvie & Mather get their photo? Simple. Their cameraman stood a half mile outside the outer perimeter fence of the plant and pointed his camera, not at the plant, but at the nearest scenic hillside.

Advertising that conveys true information about a product, service or organisation is of value to society. But ad's like that of Ogilvie & Mather, which are blatant lies, bring the whole advertising and marketing profession into disrepute, should be criminalised. There is supposedly an Advertising Standards Authority in Britain. The Shell case illustrates how useless it is, but clearly Britain needs an effective advertising monitoring body – and quickly. We need to know the truth – not ad men's lies.

ON THE GREEN FRONT

THE RADIOACTIVE SEAS

BRITAIN'S nuclear power programme has been riddled with problems: costs have rocketed; reactors have had to be closed down because of radiation leaks and design faults, and discharges of radioactive waste have seriously contaminated beaches and the sea.

Radioactive waste disposal is turning out to be an insoluble problem. Britain has, so far, disposed of the majority of its nuclear waste by discharging it into the Irish Sea. This has resulted in the Irish Sea becoming the most radioactive sea in the world.

Other waste, sealed in concrete containers, has been dumped in the Atlantic Ocean 600 miles off Lands End.

But now countries belonging to the Paris Commission and London Dumping Convention, concerned about the environmental dangers caused by such dumping, have forced the Government to revise its attitude. Radioactive discharges into the sea are now to be phased out.

Instead the Government is to spend £150 million in an attempt to find ways of safely disposing of nuclear waste.

Some low-level wastes are already buried in the ground at the Drigg site adjacent to Sellafield; but the real problem relates to the disposal of high-level wastes which are extremely dangerous and remain so for hundreds of years.

The technology for the safe containment of such wastes simply does not exist. At the moment these high-level wastes are being stored in tanks at Sellafield only because there is nowhere else for them to go.

We can already generate 35 per-cent more electricity than we need even on the coldest day of the year, and we have coal reserves that will last us hundreds of years.

Nuclear power is expensive, dangerous and is not needed. Britain's nuclear power programme should be scrapped, and the savings made by this should be invested in the coal industry and in developing safe, non-polluting alternative energy.

The Toxic Parks

IT IS becoming increasingly apparent that strict controls are urgently required for pesticides and herbicides. In Chobham, Surrey, a campaign has recently started to end the use of herbicides on Chobham Common. The local population is up in arms about the frequent deaths of dogs using the Common.

Surrey County Council readily admit to using the notorious herbicide 245–T on the Common, to clear Birch stumps. They say that the herbicide is the only way of killing them.

245–T contains dioxin, one of the most toxic chemicals ever synthesised. Dioxin is thought to cause Cancer and birth defects in those people exposed to it. Even though there is vast evidence weighing against the safety of 245–T successive governments have not accepted the overwhelming evidence against it, and the herbicide can still be purchased from your local garden centre.

Dioxin (TCDD) was responsible for widespread harm after the notorious accident at the Seveso chemical works near Milan in 1976.

Britain had its own dioxin scandal in 1968 when an explosion at a Coalite chemical factory in Derbyshire generated a large quantity of dioxin waste. The whereabouts of this waste can only be guessed at and even today Coalite refuse to reveal what happens to the contaminated wastes that they produce in normal production.

The American courts are considering claims by Vietnam veterans that their health was seriously and permanently damaged by the widespread use of 245–T to defoliate parts of Vietnam.

In Sweden studies into the herbicide and similar substances have found that workers who have come in contact with these substances are seven times more likely to develop certain types of cancer than comparable workers not using the chemicals.

These are just four of many cases, but perhaps the biggest problem of all facing the fight against these evil chemicals is the lack, and in a lot of cases, the suppression of information about herbicides and pesticides. This information is kept a closely guarded secret between the government and chemical industry.

What we so desperately need, and demand, is a freedom of Information Act which amongst many other things will concern itself with herbicides, pesticides and related substances. Only then can the fight really begin against these deadly chemicals.

THE RESTORATION OF PROPERTY

Hillaire Belloc's classic work, fundamental to Nationalist ideology. Send £2.50 to: Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

This article was originally published in the Iranian magazine, *Imam*. It expresses the official view of the Islamic Republic of Iran and demonstrates that nation's resolute opposition to Zionism.

ISLAM v ZION

IN 1947, the Palestinians rebelled against the colonisation of their country by the British. Britain threw off her undertakings and agreements and transferred the 'Palestinian problem' to the newly-established United Nations, passing on its legacy of treachery, betrayal, and flagrant violation of Palestinian rights. The Palestinian Muslims will never forget, nor forgive the British Cabinet's crime which promised (without any actual legal authority over Palestine) with the Balfour Declaration in 1917 "the establishment in Palestine of a National Home for the Jewish People". Balfour himself wrote "In Palestine we do not propose to go through the form of consulting the wishes of the Government to the present inhabitants of the country . . . The four Great Powers are committed to Zionism".

In 1947, Zionists owned no more than 7 per-cent of the total land area of Palestine. Notwithstanding, the General Assembly recommended the partition of Palestine and the creation of a 'Jewish State'. The Partition of 1947 gave the Zionists, one third of the population, 54 per-cent of the land. It had taken decades of resolute plotting and determined effort for the Zionists to purchase 7 per-cent of Palestine; now the United Nations handed over to them another 47 per-cent of the country, an overnight territorial gain of 800 per-cent!

ZIONIST STATE

The General Assembly's recommendation in favour of the creation of a Zionist state was, in fact, outside the competence of the Assembly under the Charter of the United Nations. It will not surprise you to learn of the role of the United States in this turn of events. By all accounts, the White House threatened, cajoled, bullied and blackmailed countries into voting for partition. U.S. President Truman used or withheld every incentive within his control so that even a members of his own cabinet, U.S. Secretary of Defence, John Forrestal privately termed it "an almost scandalous use of coercion and duress upon other nations". It is not hard to imagine what pressures are today brought to bear upon member nations voting in the General Assembly.

The historical facts of the matter make it blatantly obvious that the question of the expulsion of the racist-Zionist regime from the United Nations shakes this world body to its very foundations, and encompasses the wider question of the credibility of the United Nations itself. The U.N. made a recommendation for the Partition of Palestine, a decision beyond its own self-defined capacity. In a second special session in 1948 the General Assembly itself failed to reaffirm the 1947 recommendation for the partition of Palestine. In the first vote, every single Asian and African country opposed the partition.

War broke out in Palestine almost immediately. The Zionists seized an area of Palestine one third larger still than the land given them by the U.N. partition. The so-called 'State of Israel' was proclaimed and it was no accident that Ben Gurion refused to define the limits of that illegal state. When the British withdrew in May 1948, 960,000 Palestinian Muslims had been made homeless refugees. However, in May 1949, usurper 'Israel' was admitted to membership of the United Nations – and to this day its membership is a violation of the charter and ideals of the body. In 1949, as a condition of membership, the Zionist regime was to implement the U.N. resolution calling for dispossessed Palestinians to be given an opportunity to return to their homes, and

receive compensation. Having achieved membership, 'Israel' did not abide by the resolution and has been in blatant violation of it for over three decades since.

Since 1949 the Zionist usurpers of Quds have continued in outright defiance of the U.N., utterly confident that the power of the White House coupled with the veto system have rendered it virtually invulnerable within the body, but not of course in world public opinion before which it has been utterly exposed for its aggressive, expansionist, racist, murderous and criminal acts. It has repeatedly invaded the territories of neighbouring Muslim states. Before their invasion of Lebanon, the Zionist regime was rebuked, censured or condemned by the United Nations on 11 occasions – five times by the Security Council, and six times by the General Assembly. No country in the world has been so frequently condemned in the U.N.

It is enough to recall the report of the head of the International Red Cross delegation in Palestine in 1948, to reveal the true nature of the so-called 'state of Israel'. Jacques de Reynier witnessed the Zionist atrocities in the village of Deir Yassin, a small Muslim village outside Jerusalem. He walked into one of the houses following what the terrorists called a 'cleaning up' operation.

"I found some bodies cold. Here the 'cleaning up' had been done with machine guns, then hand grenades. It had been finished off with knives, anyone could see that. The same thing in the next room, but as I was about to leave, I heard something like a sigh. I looked everywhere, turned over all the bodies, and eventually found a little girl of ten, mutilated by a hand grenade, but still alive . . . everywhere it was the same horrible sight".

YOUNG GIRLS RAPED

Families had been lined up and shot down in a barrage of machine-gun fire; young girls raped; a pregnant mother was first slaughtered and then had her stomach cut open with a butchers' knife; a girl who tried to remove the unborn child from the woman's womb was shot down. Some of the Irgun terrorists slashed their victims to pieces with cutlasses. The British authorities observed and noted all this in careful detail. Inspector-General Richard Cutling wrote: "parts of some of the women's ears were severed in order to remove their ear-rings". The Zionist usurpers' endless record of systematic genocide, atrocities, war crimes, massacres and violations of the Geneva Convention and other international laws render it criminal for anyone **not** to oppose Israel's membership of any international body.

The racist-Zionist regime operates a system of apartheid upon the Arab population in occupied Palestine. They live under martial law, under pass laws, without freedom to travel, and under discrimination in work, pay and education. In 1975 U.N. Resolution 3379 was passed, identifying Zionism as a form of racism. In November 1974 the U.N. reaffirmed the inalienable rights of the Palestinian people in Palestine.

This is a fraction of the overwhelming evidence on which the Islamic Republic of Iran bases its moves to expel the regime occupying Palestine from the U.N. The Islamic Republic of Iran has been at the vanguard of action to expose Israel in all international forums.

DEREK HOLLAND

A CATHOLIC VISITS ULSTER

STEPPING OFF the ferry at Larne was a curious experience. As our ship had cut through the early morning mist, to reveal an evocative and mysterious coastline, it seemed as though Cu Chulainn, Ferdia and others from Ulster mythology were somehow present. I felt as though I were stepping out of one world, itself a rag bag of contradictions. and into another where contradictions existed likewise, but in differing shapes and forms. Simultaneously, I felt as though I knew this world, yet strangely did not know it; it was a world that had become known through the literary imagery of Fleet Street and the celluloid sophistication of Broadcasting House. It was equally unknown because it was my first visit.

As I walked around the centre of Belfast for several hours, it dawned gradually that the Ulster situation was fundamentally at variance with the picture fashioned by high technology. Of course I already knew that this 'sectarian' struggle, the notion that Catholicism and Protestantism were locked in material war, was essentially untrue. What really did make an impact on me was the sheer normality prevailing in the Province. Having been subjected to a daily barrage of media reports, it seemed natural to expect that within hours of arriving, we would hear the blast of an exploding bomb or the echo of distant gurfire. When this media 'reality' fails to materialise it produces confusing sentiments. On the one hand, there is a sensation of anti-climax, of recognising that the tension, borne of apprehension, was largely ill-founded. On the other hand, there is a profound sense of relief, a realisation that relative peace is not totally unknown in this sorely tried country.

NATURE OF LIFE

From the outset, I was determined to discover the true nature of life in both communities; I was not interested in carefully conducted tours. I was not interested in propaganda, Loyalist or Republican, but only in the Truth. In order to obtain this, my colleague and I spent hours just strolling around, observing the people and their actions; listening to their conversation; taking note of their environment. This personal experience confirmed what I already knew: poor church attendance and religious indifference are the hallmark of the two communities. Religion is a convenient facade which hides the essential reasons for the turbulence in Ulster. This isn't to deny the existence of unsavoury religious bigotry, but merely to put it into perspective; it is of secondary importance in this war. It remains that the Modernist ramblings of Cardinal O' Faich are parried perfectly by the rantings of Ian Paisley. As a practising Catholic, it is difficult for me to judge whether or not Ian Paisley is an authentic representative of Presbyterianism, but there can be little doubt that the Cardinal is well distanced from the teaching of the Catholic Church; little wonder then that the sadly deceased Pope John Paul I is reputed to have said of O' Faich: *"The Church and Ireland deserve far, far better."*

Young Protestants such as these are a familiar sight on many loyalist demonstrations and rallies. Nationalism Today *asks: Why must Ulster loyalism and Catholicism be considered incompatible when so many Catholics are proud to be British?*

If religion is at best a contributing factor, what are the sources of this evil civil strife? I hesitate to make definitive statements, but two factors appeared to me to be of the first order.

Firstly, there is a tremendous confusion over the national and racial question. In the Republican movement there is strong commitment to the belief that the Loyalists are alien to the island of Ireland, that they are an obstacle to Irish unity precisely because they are not Irish. It is a worldwide view which implicitly rejects the notion that centuries of migration and intermarriage amongst the populations of these islands have given birth to a family of closely related peoples. At the same time, there is a strain of Republicanism that accepts that Loyalists are an integral part of Irish history, and that their disaffection stems from British imperialism. So we have a movement that simultaneously maintains that the Loyalists are racial aliens and racial brothers!

The cruel irony of the situation, however, is that this painfully contradictory position finds its reflection within loyalist circles. There are those who argue that the history of the loyalist community begins with the Plantation settlements, a viewpoint which accepts implicitly that the loyalists are alien to Ireland; if they are alien then surely their imperialism must be opposed? However, another version of loyalism proclaims that the loyalist folk can trace their ancestry to the earliest recorded periods of history; this position involves acceptance of the idea that the loyalists are historically Irish. Which of these two loyalisms predominates? Which of these loyalisms is most tenable?

A SOLUTION

It is this divergency of views, within both communities, that makes a solution seem so impossible; after all, until we know precisely what Republicanism and Loyalism mean, we can hardly provide an answer, can we? Justice depends on the evolution of a coherent worldview, and social justice is clearly and ardently desired by the majority in both communities. One has only to listen to people speak, to study the war weariness in their eyes, to know that Ulster is a classic case of penetrating exploitation. It is a case of Politicians exploiting the genuine grievances of People; it is a situation where Public lives are built upon the corpses of the poor and the innocent. If Gerry Adams didn't have Ian Paisley to hold up as a bogeyman, where would either of them be? The day peace comes to Ulster, these and people like them will be out of a job. The civil war of the Many provides a living for the Few.

The second factor which stands out like a sore thumb is the cancer of Capitalism. As one walks from a Republican area to a Loyalist one, you are confronted by the same things: poorly dressed folk; myriads of young girls who have married far too early so as to 'escape' their despairing situation; innumerable terrace houses shut up with breeze blocks or planks; high rise flats of the sort that disfigure the mainland and which are havens of poverty, degradation

and humiliation. These things and many more are found everywhere: the Republican Ardoyne is a bloody disgrace, but so is the Loyalist area of Sandy Row. Indeed, the only visible distinguishing mark is the graffiti – one area is the stronghold of the UDA and UVF; the other of the IRA and INLA. Any impartial observer will note that the communities are linked by a grinding poverty spawned by grasping capitalism; whilst the ideologues of the Green and Orange denounce one another, the "representatives" of two dispossessed communities, the capitalist makes his money. This money is blood money; it has ordered the shooting of fathers, the stoning of mothers and the mutilation of children. It is a creed that lives on the death of White people, on the death of the European world.

Given the vicious capitalism that is exploiting people, regardless of their religious affiliation, it is disconcerting to discover that neither community has developed a coherent ideological position which offers the possibility of real peace. What should also worry the Loyalists is the fact that elements of the Republican movement are ideologically far sounder: this element seeks the nationalisation of the banks, the destruction of capitalism, withdrawal from NATO and resistance to U.S. cultural imperialism. Faced by this, fur coat loyalists seem to repeat endlessly nineteenth century cliches about "free enterprise"; take a fawning pro-Yank line; and promote the myth that the Tory Party is pro-Union. This reactionary politics is increasingly at variance with the political consciousness of the mainland – the time is approaching when traditional loyalism will be arguing in favour of the British connection upon principles no longer acceptable on the mainland. This is not an opinion, it is a demonstrable fact.

A RADICAL LOYALISM

Fortunately, there is some hope that a radical loyalism is beginning to emerge. This loyalism recognises that even if the Marxist IRA disappeared tomorrow, there would still be massive political and socio-economic problems to overcome; such loyalism has to become the norm if Ulster is to survive and prosper. Radical loyalism has also come to realise that the IRA and the British Government are twin evils: the first threatens with the Armalite, the other corrodes with its weakness and duplicity.

There is no satisfactory way of concluding this article; after all as I write, the bloodshed continues and bereavement becomes an unpleasant reality for yet more families. No doubt my observations will be attacked by the dull witted on both sides – the one accusing me of "Fenianism", the other accusing me of being a "Brit stooge". But they should realise they are playing with people's lives, that they are arrogating God's power over life and death. The fact remains that Ulster's main loyalist paramilitary strongly desires reconciliation based upon Truth and Justice; there remains the fact that if all our people, Catholic and Protestant, are to have a real future, it is imperative that we go beyond the sterile Green/Orange conflict. It is imperative that reactionary Unionism and Marxist Republicanism give way to a New Ulster, a New Ireland, and a New Britain: the solution lies only in National Revolution.

"Therefore, Jew, though justice be thy plea, consider this."

THE HEINOUS 'crime' of anti-semitism is often levelled at British Nationalists. Yet anti-semitism was considered natural and healthy by our ancestors who perceived the nature of organised Jewry in a way which is beyond the comprehension of most modern-day 'intellectuals'.

This selection of quotes reflect the views of people who have little in common except their knowledge and distrust of organised Jewry. There are quotes from the arch-capitalist Henry Ford who believed that "history is more or less bunk" and from the arch anti-capitalist William Cobbett who believed that history and tradition were of paramount importance. There are quotes from Martin Luther, the founder of Protestantism, and from Hilaire Belloc, a champion of orthodox Catholicism. There are quotes from Douglas Reed, a defender of the Strasserite form of National Socialism, and from Mikail Bakunin, an early proponent of anarchism. Whatever differences these people may have had they were united in their opposition to the power of international Jewry.

Nationalism Today does not necessarily condone all the views expressed on this page but we feel that a knowledge of what our ancestors were saying will be instructive to our readers.

Therefore, Jew, though justice be thy plea, consider this.

– William Shakespeare.
(The Merchant of Venice. Act IV: Scene 1).

I know well that they deny everything, but it all agrees with the judgement of Christ, that they are poisonous, bitter, vengeful, spiteful serpents, and devil's children, who secretly stab and do injury because they are not able to do it publicly.

– Martin Luther.
(Founder of Protestantism).

Great harm has been done to the British people, by people who had ulterior motives or were plain foolish, in introducing to this country a mass of foreign Jews.

– Douglas Reed.
(Writer and Journalist).

Himself a Jew, Marx has around him . . . . a multitude of more of less clever intriguing, mobile, speculating Jews, such as Jews are everywhere.

– Mikail Bakunin.
(Early anarchist).

The Jew is by temperament an anti-producer, neither a farmer nor an industrial, nor even a true merchant. He is an intermediary, always fraudulent and parasitic, who operates, in trade as in philosophy, by means of falsification, counterfeiting, horse-dealing . . . . His policy in economics has always been entirely negative, entirely usurious.

– H.G. Wells.
(English Writer).

It is now manifest, even to fools, that it has been by the instrumentality of a base and fraudulent paper-money, that loan-jobbers, stock-jobbers and Jews have got the estates into their hands.

– William Cobbett.
(Early 19th Century English Radical).

The Jews work more effectively against us than the enemy's armies. They are a hundred times more dangerous to our liberties and the great cause we are engaged in. It is much to be lamented that each state long ago has not hunted them down as pests to society and the greatest enemies we have to the happiness of America.

– George Washington.
(First President of America).

William Shakespeare – anti-semite

There is a great menace to these United States today and that great menace . . . is the Jew. In whatever country Jews have settled in any great numbers they have lowered its moral life and depreciated its commercial integrity.

– Benjamin Franklin.
(American statesman and scientist).

It is rather surprising, is it not, that whichever way you turn to trace the harmful stream of influence that flows through society, you come upon a group of Jews?

It is time to show that the cry of 'bigot' is raised mostly by the real bigots. There is a religious prejudice in this country, there is indeed a religious persecution, there is a forcible shoving aside of the religious liberties of a majority of the people, and this prejudice and persecution and use of force is Jewish and nothing but Jewish!

– Henry Ford.
(American engineer and industrialist).

The Jewish problem . . . . is a problem which can not be avoided, nor even lessened (as can some social problems), by a healing effect of time: for it is increasing before our eyes. It must be met and dealt with openly and now.

– Hilaire Belloc.
(English writer, poet and essayist).

Gary Rossi, our American correspondent, gives a rundown of developments in America over the last month.

CRIMINAL STATISTICS

POLITICAL OPINION polls continue to show that crime is the primary concern of most Americans. Violent crime has increased by 260% since 1960. Last year there were 12,000,000 felonies (serious crimes) reported in the U.S. Arrests were made in only 12% of these cases, and only half of those arrested were convicted. Worse, only half of those convicted were sent to jail because the prisons are already overflowing, and they are houses of horror so judges are reluctant to condemn people to them.

There were over 20,000 murders reported last year and over 250,000 rapes. Two-thirds of all rapes go unreported which means that in a normal lifetime 25 million American women (1 in 5) will be raped. America's violent crime rate is eight times that of Britain. Worst of all, kidnapping is epidemic; there are now over 1,000,000 children reported missing in America.

Blacks constitute only 13% of America's 250 million population yet they commit 63% of all robberies, 50% of all murders, and nearly half of all rapes.

A 'FATHER' FALLS FROM GRACE

RECENTLY RETIRED Admiral Hyman Rickover, 85, known as the "father" of America's nuclear navy, has been accused by Navy Secretary John Lehman of accepting nearly 70,000 dollars (£54,000) in gifts from General Dynamics, the Navy's largest contractor. Rickover has admitted to receiving a few "trinkets", including a set of £1,200 diamond earrings for his wife, but of course he denied that they in any way affected his distribution of nuclear navy building contracts.

Rickover was the bane of the various Chiefs of Naval Operations many of whom tried, unsuccessfully, to fire him. Rickover had no respect for his nominal superiors and ran his section of the Navy the way he liked, regardless of what the CNOs had to say. His power was based on the fact that he was a Jew and that he had the full power of the Jewish lobby behind him. For example, mandatory retirement in the Navy is at 65, yet President after President granted two year extensions to Rickover, with the inevitable approval of Congress, until he reached the age of 83. This in spite of the fact that he was reviled by the regular Navy.

Every year Congress would invite Rickover to open the hearing at which he would criticise the officers of the regular navy, and would rant against the corruption and incompetence of navy contractors. Apparently, he knew a good bit about the corruption side of Naval contracting. But Navy Secretary Lehman was not too hard on poor Rickover. Lehman declared that Rickover's "fall from grace with these little trinkets should be viewed in the context of his enormous contribution". Lehman, you see, has future political ambitions and does not want to offend the political puppeteers of America.



ALL CONTRACTORS who do business with the Federal or State governments must hire a certain percentage of 'minorities' as employees. Further, a certain percentage of contracts can go only to 'minority contractors', those being companies in which at least 51% of the stock is owned by a 'minority person'.

Washington D.C. is 85% Black and 2% Chinese, but so many White contractors are hiring Chinese employees rather than Blacks that the Blacks who run the D.C. government became concerned. Their concern was heightened when they found out that many minority contracts were being let to Chinese companies. So earlier this year, Black Mayor Marion Barry decertified the Chinese as a 'minority' in Washington D.C.!

SCHOOLS FOR SCANDAL

EACH YEAR hundreds of thousands of American students around the nation take the standardised Scholastic Aptitude Test. The SAT is a multiple choice exam given to those graduating from high school and is divided into two parts, maths and verbal. Grades on the SAT determine to a large extent whether or not a student will attend a university and which university he will go to, the quality of the various schools being very different. Sixty-six per-cent of all Americans attend college.

Scores on the SAT dropped continuously from 1965 to 1983 for both Blacks and Whites, Black scores being substantially lower on average than White. This caused considerable consternation for both parents and teachers as vast sums of money have been poured into the public school systems by the local governments that run them. *Per capita* spending on education was three times as great in 1980 as it was in 1950, yet ignorance flourishes. Functional illiteracy, being defined as the inability to fill out a government form to get welfare benefits, now stands at 25% of the population according to government estimates.

Under constant criticism and in desperation, the teachers in the public schools have given up traditional teaching methods for many of the less gifted, and instead are teaching these students how to take and do better on SAT tests. Thus the decline in SAT scores ended in 1983, and all of the teachers unions declared this to be a great victory. Nevertheless, Whites are still fleeing the public school system in great numbers. After 10 years of forced bussing for racial integration in Los Angeles, for example, the proportion of White students in the schools has dropped from 75% to 25%. Only those Whites who cannot afford private schools now receive the 'benefits' of multi-racial education in Los Angeles.

JAPS WINNING THE WAR

IN APRIL of this year President Reagan lifted the import quota on Japanese cars that he had instituted in 1981. In those four years American car companies substantially improved the quality of their products, but also continued to raise their prices. The Japanese still have the edge in both price and quality. Last year *Consumer Reports* listed the top five companies in terms of customer satisfaction as follows: 1. Mercedes Benz; 2. Subaru; 3. Toyota; 4. Lincoln; 5. Honda. So there has been a scramble by American companies to go into partnership with Japanese companies, particularly at the lower end of the car market where price counts the most. Chrysler has a deal with Mitsubishi and General Motors has a deal with Toyota. Ford is still looking.

Ford may have been caught flat-footed by Reagan's declaration, but it has tried to learn something from Japanese managerial techniques. Two years ago Ford instituted quality circles in most of its plants. Unfortunately, Ford still does not have the hang of it. Last year Ford management put into effect 1% of the suggestions generated by the quality circles, whereas Nissan, for example, instituted 66% of the suggestions received from its quality circles. The workers at Ford have got the message that management is not really interested in what they have to say, so the number of suggestions has dropped off dramatically.

THE SCORPION

Independent cultural magazine of interest to Nationalists. Send £1.50 plus 25p postage to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

NICK GRIFFIN

Trouble shooting



WEDNESDAY.

38 INNOCENT people die in the Brussels soccer riot. Pity and anger sweep the world.

THURSDAY.

The newspapers are full of reports of the horror, all of which quite correctly blame alchohol, tribal rivalry and lax crowd control. Football violence has become endemic in modern society. It was inevitable that something like this would happen sooner or later.

Liverpool football club Chairman calls a news conference and alleges that the National Front are to blame. Claims he was confronted by six supporters who told him in cockney accents that they were NF members and Chelsea fans. *"They were boasting that they caused the trouble. They were very pleased with their action of that evening".*

Several factors cast doubt on these accusations. He claims that he was confronted by a group as he boarded his coach immediately after the match. So why didn't Smith immediately call the police, who must have been swarming all around the ground by this stage, and point them out for arrest? Why did he wait until well into the following day before "remembering" the incident and telling the press about it? The idea that reporters were not already in contact with the Chairman of the club responsible is too ridiculous for words, especially as he is also the new head of the Sports Council.

It is much more likely that it took Smith so long because his allegations were a pack of lies. concocted when the media pressure against his club and his own job became too great. He has not produced a shred of evidence to substantiate his claims.

The other strange thing is the idea that a handful of Londoners could lead a charge by hundreds of Liverpool thugs. Every psychologist and other researchers who have examined football violence has pointed out the obvious fact that it is "tribal" in nature and based on fierce local loyalty. In such an atmosphere a group of southern outsiders bent on causing trouble would be much more likely to become the victims rather than the instigators of the riot.

But in spite of these kinks in his tale, Smith's claims are taken seriously by the mass media and so the NF's defence mechanism springs into action. Press statements are issued and all radio and television stations contacted. Chairman Ian Anderson gets a five minute interview on Radio 4's *World At One*. The work continues into the evening after incredibly biased 6 o' clock T.V. news reports from BBC, ITN and Channel 4. As a direct result, millions of viewers hear denials from the National Front in later news bulletins.

FRIDAY.

The media goes berserk. From the gutter press to the "quality" papers, all print hysterical allegations against us.

The media find a few returning Liverpool fans anxious to get off the hook by following Smith's lead. Although yesterday's papers reported the fact that thousands of fans had been involved in drunken brawls on the afternoon before the match, it is now claimed that a peaceful crowdwas wound up by. *"London skinheads wearing big boots and Union Jacks".* But there are no photographs.

There are tales of NF organisers in their own vans handing out banners reading "kill the niggers". But again, for all the media coverage of the match, not one picture can be found showing any such banner.

Other allegations don't ring true either. William Lawrenson, the president of a Liverpool supporters club in Wales is a key witness for the prosecution in this one-sided media kangaroo court. In the *Telegraph* he claims to have been a few feet from the trouble makers. *"I was watching their antics, turned to a friend and said: 'These so-and-so's are from the Front.'"* But he told the *Guardian: someone shouted 'those buggers are from the National Front.' They started lobbing missiles at the Italians. It was they who led the break-in to Z section."*

So is Mr. Lawrenson's memory faulty? Or is he just a liar like John Smith? Who said the group were *"from the front"*? And what about the many people who claimed that the fatal attack was by Liverpool supporters after a young boy was attacked by Juventus fans?

More blatant still are the lies of ex-Labour Sports Minister Denis Howell, who says that people have telephoned him identifying the ring-leaders as NF members who support Chelsea. Do you know Mr Howell's phone number. Do any ordinary people have access to private numbers of leading politicians? I certainly don't. If I did I'd give Denis a buzz and ask him why the "NF ring-leaders" haven't been arrested. After all, they were "identified", weren't they?

SATURDAY.

The National Front counter-attack is clearly working. Last evening's London *Standard* avoided any mention of the wild claims of a few hours earlier, save for a brief dismissal of them in its editorial. Most of the morning papers follow suit. *The Sun* carries an interview with *NF News* editor Martin Wingfield, in which he gets over all our main points, although the paper chooses to print its own ridiculous accusations about the Marxist Militant Tendency's involvement as if they came from the NF.

Only the *Daily Mirror* continues the hysteria. This rag is also known as the *Daily Maxwell* as it is the mouthpiece of millionaire socialist Jew "Robert Maxwell" (real name Lajhbi Hoch, annual salary £175,000) Clearly the owner's personal vested interests lead his grovelling hacks to lose all sight of reality, not to mention credibility. The *Mirror* maintains that NF organisers gave away tickets for the match (black market price £70 each!) and handed out money for drinks on the ferries going over. It would seem that Mr. "Maxwell" judges other people's bank balances by the standard of his own!

SUNDAY.

The *Sunday People* interviews two Liverpool fans, Tony Wilson and Steve McDonald. They put the record straight: *"the NF had nothing to do with it. That was just the Liverpool chairman trying to protect the reputation of the Liverpool fans."*

Elsewhere, a deathly silence. The BBC2 review of the week's news doesn't even mention Smith's allegations or the NF. Even the *Mirror* returns to boobs and bingo. The smear dies down as quickly as it sprang up.

But it will stick in the back of people's minds. They might be slightly sceptical about wild media allegations against us in future, but many will be left with a vague idea that the NF is "linked to football violence". And that, of course, is what the Establishment wants, for it is yet another excuse for repressive Race Laws and a clampdown on our activities. We must resist such attempts to "criminalise" British Nationalism in every way possible. The National Front's anti-Media Lies campaign must be recognised as the most important thing we have ever done. Every member must play an active role in it.

We must not stay silent while the media puts us on trial, convicts and sentences us. We must all work to make sure that the British people get our message loud and clear: **"Open Your Eyes To Media Lies!"**

READERS LETTERS



YOUR VIEWS MAKE NEWS

Nationalism Today **welcomes your views! Please send your letters to** *Nationalism Today,* **50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.**

TIN–POT FUEHRERS

Dear Sir,

You frequently poke fun at the editor of *Spearhead* but you haven't yet criticised the most dangerous aspect of Fuehrer worship, that it leads to war. The fascist leaders of the 1930's were military men first and foremost and their philosophy of life was created by the conditions of the First World War. Hitler and Mussolini never abandoned the desire to prove themselves better commanders than their military superiors had been in World War One. It was an easy task for those who wanted war in Europe again to push them to it.

Even the Germanophobe William Shirer admitted in his book *The Rise And Fall Of The Third Reich* that only the German leader wanted war. The German people didn't want to fight anyone. Contemporary apologists for the Hitler dictatorship point to Hitler's statements in favour of peace, but this was propaganda for consumption at home and abroad, for he knew that neither his own people nor the people of France or Britain saw any point in fighting another war.

Nowadays *Spearhead* promises that military threats will enamate thick and fast from his Nationalist Britain. One suspects a Briton shortchanged in a bar in Modgdishu or overcharged for a taxi in Budapest could spark off military confrontation! I don't think an issue of the magazine has come out without some reference to the manly virtue of threatening to blow-up non-Britons. No wonder so many people are frightened by the word 'Nationalism'!

Tin-pot Fuehrers should be confined to the armed forces where they have a valuable role to play in executing, but not determining, policy. A philosophy of total obedience makes sense in the army but as part of general political philosophy 'sabre rattling' in the nuclear age would lead to the probable annihilation of our beloved race and nation. Those who propagate the *Fuehrerprinzip* should be banished to army barracks where they can act out their demented fantasies in a more or less constructive way.

Yours faithfully,

Michael Walker,
London S.W.

ARCHITECTURE

Dear Sir,

The Department of the Environment should be applauded! In a rare display of sanity, plans to build yet another skyscraper in the City of London have been rejected.

This particular scheme was the brainchild of one Peter Palumbo, a Neopolitan property speculator. Criminally, most of the architectural establishment backed his plan to construct a hideous block, designed by Mies van der Rohe, over nine listed Victorian buildings. Incidentally, he was also backed by Evelyn de Rothschild and Sir Robert Sainsbury.

For once, we can praise Prince Charles, for he spoke out against the plan. He described the tower block as "a glass stump better suited to downtown Chicago", and a "monstrous carbuncle". It is anticipated that the rejection of this scheme will herald a new ero of craftsmanship in architecture, drawing on traditions and folk-culture for inspiration. Let's hope so!

But perhaps Palumbo was right. His variety of big-is-best, soulless, gaudy, cosmopolitan architecture is perhaps ideally suited to such a depraved financial Gomorrah as the City of London!

Yours faithfully,

Eddy Butler,
London E.

V.E. CELEBRATIONS

Dear Editor,

The hijacking of the V.E. celebrations by the Jewish lobby and its adherents, in which the memory of the British fallen was overshadowed by constant reminders of the 'holocaust', heralds the launching of a propaganda campaign against the Nationalists here in Britain.

The insidious infiltration of British society by Zionism and its supporters, proven by the numbers of Jews within the present cabinet, bodes ill for the future. Their support for the multi-racial society is engendered by the hope that they can manipulate the so-called ethnic minorities for their own ends.

The new laws suggested in the recent White Paper tightening up on any expression of dissent could prove embarrassing to Nationalist publications, and of course giving the police powers to ban marches effectively emasculates the ability to demonstrate opposition to present policies.

The new few years could prove crucial to the movement. Decisions will need to be taken on policy and direction which may well be unpalatable to some supporters. Nevertheless, the crisis is, I think, deepening. It may well be too late.

Yours sincerely,

E.W. Dowey,
Powys,
Wales.

EDITOR'S NOTE – Although the new White Paper opens a new and brutal chapter in the history of Repression against the Nationalist movement in Britain, it should not be the cause of despair by British Nationalists. We always knew that the Establishment would become more tyrannical as it began to feel threatened. The latest measures may well be symptomatic of the last desperate death throes of a sick and doomed society. If the National Front can ride the coming storm we may emerge from it as the vanguard of a New Order.

STAR LETTER

The best letter published in the local press reflecting National Front policy will be printed in each issue of *Nationalism Today*. **The writer of any Star Letter printed in** *NT* **will receive a £5 Nationalist Books voucher. All letters for consideration should be sent to the Editor, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. This month's letter was published in the Thurrock Gazette.**

MR HARVEY Proctor, MP, is a man of courage and integrity who is quite prepared to advocate policies which have wide popular support, but are frowned upon by the Hampstead liberals and his own Tory party leadership.

His statement that the SAS should rout out the IRA terrorists and shoot them dead should be applauded.

It is about time we realised that we are at war with the IRA and acted accordingly. The fact that successive governments have continually pursued a "softly softly" approach is because at heart they sympathise with the republican aims of the IRA and would readily agree to a sell-out of Ulster if it were not for the vigilance of the Loyalist population of this beleagued province.

While the defeat of the IRA is essential, the National Front believe that there will only be comprehensive peace when the people of Eire recognise that they, too, are British; rejoin the British family of nations and once again become an integral part of the United Kingdom. — **D. DURANT, Secretary, East London and Essex National Front.**

WHAT FUTURE FOR THE MONARCHY?

In this article Richard Brown takes a personal and controversial look at the Monarchy. Although Mr Brown's views are not necessarily those of NT *we feel that he makes a number of points which should provoke debate within Nationalist circles.*

THE NATIONAL FRONT'S traditional attitude to the Monarchy was summed up best in a *Statement of Policy* issued in the mid-seventies, which said that the NF was "firmly loyal to the Institution of the Crown". Since then, the Party has paid lip-service, at least, to the idea of the Monarchy.

In the recently issued updated *Statement of Policy* the subject of Monarchy is completely ignored; a phenomenon which does, I feel, indicate some disillusionment with the Monarchy coupled with uncertainty over what our policies should be. This is perhaps in line with a long-standing NF vice: When we are unsure what our policies ought to be, or are afraid that they might be internally controversial, then the issue is fudged, or ignored.

I do not profess to know for certain what our policies regarding the monarchy should be, but I am certain that it is time that the subject was debated, and a policy line worked out. This issue is important, not because a Constitutional Monarch holds any real power, but simply because it is an emotive issue which many people feel to be important. In considering the subject, let's start by looking at the case for keeping the Monarchy.

Firstly, Monarchy does provide a Head of State different from the Head of Government, and one that is above party politics. Problems can arise when one individual holds both offices, for example, America's Watergate problems are often said to have been compounded by the fact that Nixon was America's 'King' as well as Head of Government.

FOCUS FOR PATRIOTISM

Secondly, the Monarch is seen, rightly or wrongly, by many people as a focus for patriotism and national identity. A superficial bourgeois patriotism it may be, but it does have more serious racial overtones: Look at any large crowd celebrating some Royal 'event' outside Buckingham Palace and Afro-Asian faces are conspicuous by their absence.

Currently, just about every anti-Monarchy organisation in Britain is essentially anti-British. If the NF were to adopt a Republican stance, there is a danger of confusing and alienating potential supporters, especially in Ulster. While tailoring principles to meet the ever shifting whims of 'popular opinion' is a sure way to disaster, equally to be dogmatic over a non-essential issue, if it causes one to lose vital support, so that one loses the opportunity to gain power and implement essential policies, is also disasterous. As I noted earlier, constitutional Monarchy is not intrinsically important – it has little real power, and its survival or otherwise is not essential to the survival of Race and Nation. It might be that pragmatic considerations will force acceptance of the Monarchy upon us.

Thirdly, the Monarchy has traditionally been an integral part of the political and consitutional heritage of the nation. Arguably to destroy it, just out of repugnance at one or two generations of its representatives, would be to destroy one of the traditions that gives the British nation its sense of identity.

Finally, the fact that Royalty is perceived more as a family than simply an individual monarch may help reinforce the idea of the family as the basis of Race and Nation.

There are, however, a number of important reasons why the monarchy should be abolished.

INHERENTLY UNSOUND

Firstly, the principal of a hereditary Monarchy is based on false promises and is inherently unsound. Monarchy presupposes that the qualities that an individual may possess that justify him being King will be passed on to his (usually male) child. Whilst human attributes are largely genetically inheritable they are certainly not inherited in the simplistic way assumed by the Monarchist principle of primogeniture. In the pathetically inane little wimp, Prince Charles, the genes of his once proud ancestors have, however, become rather diluted, to say the least.

"British Republicanism?, Er, yes, well, absolutely."

Secondly, the present Royal Family has happily become a pliant tool of the Establishment. To many Nationalists this is the key criticism: The present Royal Family has returned the privileged lifestyle, the respect, the affection and even love, of the British people by kicking them in the teeth at every opportunity.

The list of African and Jewish 'ex'-terrorists – murderers of British troops and civilians – honoured and feted at Buckingham Palace is endless. Leading members of the Royal Family such as Prince Phillip are involved in internationalist bodies such as the Bilderburg Movement. Should a member of the Royal Family visit Brixton we all know who they will be photographed grovelling to. The White folk of Brixton – and there are still a few left – will always be ignored.

The Monarchy has been most strongly supported in recent years by the Loyalists of Ulster. Yet when the Establishment finally sells out Ulster, can anyone imagine the Monarchy issuing the smallest squeak of protest? While King William may have personally lead his troops into battle his descendants won't lift a finger to stop the betrayal of their most ardent supporters in Ulster.

ATTITUDE TO ULSTER

Luckily, some Loyalists are starting to question the Monarchy's attitude to Ulster. What, for instance, has the Queen said in support of the Loyalist PoWs? What has she said about the Supergrass System? (It should also be remembered, that in issue 21 of *NT*, David Kerr, a militant Ulster Loyalist, wrote an article strongly critical to the Queen, and called upon all Nationalists "to reassess their attitude to the Monarchy".)

Thirdly, there has been a tendency, since Medieval times at least, for Royalty to marry, not their kinsfolk, but members of the royal families of other nations, thus loosening the ties between Monarch and people. I mean no disrespect to Germans or Greeks, but one wonders if a largely Graeco-German-Jewish individual like Prince Charles can really be said to symbolise the spiritual unity of the British folk? Can someone who has undergone the barbaric Hebrew ritual of Circumcision at the hands of the Royal Moehl, really be considered as a **British** monarch?

Overall, my personal opinion is that the Monarchy has outlived its usefulness. Although it might be argued that the Monarchy might become a useful tool of the Establishment, the mere fact that it is only of use as a despised tool shows just how far the institution of Monarchy has fallen. No longer the semi-mystical embodiment of a people's unity and nationhood, transcending petty sectional/or party political interests, Monarchism is an ideal whose sun is setting, to rise no more.

One lesson that the National Front has, I hope, learnt is that it does not pay to water down our policies, or dodge the issue on controversial subjects. Nevertheless, if the NF does come out in support of British Republicanism, it is vital that we clearly explain WHY we do so, if we are not to be misunderstood, by many potential supporters, especially in Ulster.

In conclusion, I can only say that modern Nationalism and Monarchism are incompatible – we must not be afraid to face and express this fact.

ALBION EXPRESS

Let the National Front's own printing firm print for you. Anything from business cards to magazines. We also offer typesetting and layout facilities. For further details plus a free quote phone Roger Denny on 01-684-0271 or write to him at 50, Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

UNDERSTANDING THE NATURE OF POWER

NICK GRIFFIN

"WHEN WE COME TO POWER" is a common phrase in the Nationalist vocabulary, but it is a strange fact that the movement has never given any real thought to what "power" actually is, or how it may be gained.

There are a number of areas in which power manifests itself in a modern society. The first thing we must therefore do is to define these areas. Then we must find ways in which to develop the power of the National Front in each of them.

Fortunately we have in Britain a very good example of the use of revolutionary tactics to oppose a powerful modern Establishment. Our rulers have been trying to solve the "Irish problem" for decades by pushing all of Ireland out of the United Kingdom, but a mere one million Ulster loyalists have refused to accept this and have been able to defy all attempts to ditch them. If we analyse the reasons for this we discover some valuable clues as to the real basis of "power".

From the UVF of 1912 up to the shows of strength by the modern paramilitaries, the loyalists have always been able to put on the streets a force which is more than a match for the IRA and which could only be suppressed by the Westminster government through a civil war. Here, then, is the first pillar of Ulster's defiance: **PHYSICAL POWER.**

The attempted introduction of "power-sharing" in 1973 posed a new problem as it threatened the slow but irresistable decline of loyalist power. The loyalists had to show that Ulster could not be run without their consent. The answer was the Ulster Workers general strike which brought the province to a standstill for ten days and forced the government to back down. This shows clearly the second aspect of revolutionary "muscle": **ECONOMIC POWER.**

No revolutionary group would be able to control the streets or the economy without popular support from at least a section of the population. This provides a recruiting base and a sympathetic mass into which the militant hardcore can merge to find cover and support Such widespread support also guarantees the regular supply of information from sympathisers in the local security forces.

This lasting popular backing can only develop where a significant part of the population shares the aspirations of the revolutionaries. This **SOCIAL POWER** certainly exists in Ulster.

But a movement whose power rests purely on control of the streets and factories will be attacked by the media as being based on fear and violence, thus justifying repression. This line is not, however, credible when the "handful of violent men" are able to demonstrate that they have widespread electoral support. Representation, even on local councils, provides a shield against arbitrary repression as well as credibility as a future government. This can be termed **POLITICAL POWER** and, once again, the Ulster loyalists have it.

Physical; economic; social and political. These are the four pillars of power which must be constructed by any revolutionary organisation. Something, however, is clearly missing in Ulster, for while the loyalists have held their own, their long-term position is still insecure. Why have they failed to turn power into revolution? Part of the reason is Ulster's relatively small size and population, but more important is that the loyalists merely react to what other people try to do to them. They have no coherent set of

positive aims. They lack the fifth requirement for revolution: **IDEOLOGICAL POWER.**

Of all five, this is the most important for without it there is not even the idea of revolution. If one of the other pillars is missing or weak, failure is likely, but without a revolutionary ideology there cannot even be a revolutionary organisation.

STEPS TO POWER

Here at least, the National Front is much better equipped than the loyalist groups, for we **do** have a coherent and attractive ideology and we **do** call for a revolution.

So it is vital that our next set of medium-range targets are chosen with the aim of building up the NF's strength in the areas of power identified above. Let us therefore look briefly at each point and work out what our long term objectives must be. The medium targets then set may not be spectacular, but they will act as small steps up the ladder to power in each area, so they must be achieved.

PHYSICAL POWER. We do not seek confrontation, but since our opponents are prepared to use violence against us, we must always be ready to **defend** ourselves and able to protect our own activities, key personnel and property assets. Externally, there is no doubt that the continued decline in race relations and in impartial policing will create the need for inner-city White communities to **defend** themselves.

The first step towards real influence here must be to improve our own discipline, making our activities visually more impressive and orderly. The most important source of physical power is respect, simple improvements will begin to earn it.

ECONOMIC POWER. This still lies to some extent in influence in the unions, which must be developed. But in the coming times of economic chaos it will be based more on our ability to provide food and work for our own communities and to operate transport, printing presses and other propaganda weapons for an army of full-time activists. Mutually supportive NF-backed small businesses must be set up all over the country.

SOCIAL POWER. This has its source in two areas. The first, popular sentiment – what people really believe – is already basically on our side, since millions of Britons agree with our main policies, even though they do not yet see us as the people to carry them out. **We just have to keep working away to undermine the dam of Establishment lies which is all that is between us and a flood of popular support.**

The second, the need for a body of support **within** the Establishment, must be met by winning over a section of the next generation of civil servants, police officers and other State functionaries. This can only be done when they are young. **For most it is too late even by the university stage, so our schools recruitment campaign must be stepped up and sustained.**

POLITICAL POWER. To gain this we must overcome media smears and the natural reluctance of people to change their political allegiance. We do not have the resources to do this nationwide, but it is already beginning to happen where local units have put enough work into target wards. **The "sinking of local roots" begun last year must be encouraged by more work and NF community action projects.**

So in every vital area of power there is plenty we can do to advance the British National Revolution. Our long-term aims must be broken down into a series of steps which are within our ability, so that each piece of progress allows us to go further still.

The next set of objectives will be announced by the Directorate shortly; then it is up to all of us to make sure they are achieved. Our long-term success depends on it.

THE NATIONALIST BOOKSHOP

50 PAWSONS ROAD, CROYDON, SURREY CRO 2QF.

"HAIL THE NEW DAWN" by SKREWDRIVER

THE VIKINGS HAVE LANDED!

The artwork on the cover of Skrewdriver's new album, *Hail The New Dawn*, depicts the crew of a Viking longboat landing on some foreign shore, no doubt with the intention of raping and pillaging the unsuspecting local population. Yet one suspects that the imagery of the Viking warriors disembarking has somewhat more significance than a mere fleeting reference to days gone by. Certainly the arrival of Skrewdriver's latest album will spread panic amongst the decadent denizens of the rock music world in much the same way as the arrival of a Viking longboat would spread panic to the unfortunate inhabitants of an eighth century coastal village.

Indeed, Skrewdriver represent a complete antithesis to the *raison d'etre* of most modern music. A comparison between the poison propagated by most pop 'culture' and the titles of the tracks on *Hail The New Dawn* will illustrate the point.

Pop 'culture' preaches cynicism, destruction and a sense of hopelessness; the title track of Skrewdriver's album, on the other hand, aspires to a feeling of optimism, the constructive dream of a 'new dawn' and the hope which springs from it.

Pop 'culture' preaches self-degradation and self-humiliation while tracks from *Hail The New Dawn* like *Our Pride Is Our Loyalty* call for self-respect and honour.

Pop 'culture' preaches multi-racialism and internationalism, while Skrewdriver tracks like *Race And Nation* and *Flying The Flag* praise the principles of racialism and patriotism.

PHILOSOPHICAL CHASM

The philosophical chasm which exists between the nihilistic degeneracy of most modern rock music and the idealistic dreams and aspirations of Skrewdriver are made apparent by these comparisons. It is this, above all else, which makes Skrewdriver so hated by the rock music establishment. It is this which led to Skrewdriver being banned from playing in any established rock venue. It is this which has stopped any of their records from being sold through conventional outlets.

But what about the album itself? Does it compare favourably with previous Skrewdriver releases? The answer is an emphatic 'yes'! The band seem tighter than on any other previous release, Ian Stuart's compositions seem more imaginative and inspired than ever and Mark Sutherland deserves credit for the excellent job he did in producing the album. All the above factors come together to produce a more polished sound than the band has ever managed in the past.

It is a matter for conjecture as to why Ian Stuart's writing appears more inspired than on previous releases. It could, however, be due to two specific factors. Firstly, one senses that he is more at home when writing political lyrics than when writing the shallow protest songs of his youth, the later being epitomised by the tracks on the *All Skrewed Up* LP. Certainly it is easier to get enthusiastic about idealistic songs like *Europe Awake* and *Tomorrow Belongs To Me* from the latest album than about purely negative

songs like *I Don't Like You* and *Anti-Social*, both of which were included on *All Skrewed Up*. On saying that though, songs from *All Skrewed Up* like *Too Much Confusion* still stand out as being exceptionally strong tracks, ranking alongside anything the band is producing now.

The second factor which may have injected the added inspiration into Ian Stuart's recent compositions is the broadening of the musical styles which appear to be influencing him. This has led to a breakaway, or at least a partial breakaway, from the traditional Oi mould, characterised especially by *Free My Land*, the last track on the album.

Free My Land is a brilliant song which is as good as **any** song which has emerged from **any** rock composer in recent years. This is not an overstatement and I challenge anybody to actually listen to the track before they sneer at the suggestion that it is as good as anything the rock establishment has produced lately. Of course, Ian Stuart won't be accredited with the acclaim he deserves for writing such a song, his politics preclude such accolade. Nevertheless, *Free My Land* stands on its own merits and the album is worth the £4.50 for that one track alone.

SLOW BUILD UP

As already stated, *Free My Land* represents a break from the traditional Oi mould. Indeed, the slow rock build-up is reminiscent of the first half of Lynyrd Skynyrd's *Freebird* and it certainly has little in common with the punk/Oi type music normally associated with skinhead bands.

However, perhaps a word of warning should be sounded before Skrewdriver consider severing their links with Oi altogether. The warning springs from the fact that the second strongest track on the album, *If There's A Riot*, is also the least political and the closest in style to good old traditional Oi music. It's strong, fast, frantic, violently powerful in its execution and the chorus is insistantly catchy. The message to Skrewdriver is clear, therefore. By all means experiment with new styles but don't forget your roots. After all, we all know the fate which befell both Jimmy Pursey and Mensi when they forgot their roots and joined the ranks of the posey 'poets' which they started out despising. There shouldn't be any major worries though as it is hard to imagine Ian Stuart following in their footsteps and selling out. Unlike other singers, who have sold their souls to the Dollar, Ian Stuart has always stayed true to his beliefs. And, as if to prove the point the fourteen excellent tracks on *Hail The New Dawn* reinforce the lyrics of another Skrewdriver song, *Back With A Bang:* "We ain't ever gonna change!"

Hail The New Dawn **is available from the Nationalist Bookshop, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Priced at £4.50 plus 50p postage. Cheques/postal orders made payable to 'Nationalist Books'.**

ORDER FORM

To: Nationalist Books.

Please send me ____ copies of Hail the New Dawn by Skrewdriver.

I enclose £4.50 plus 50p postage per copy.

Please send me ____ copies of the great new large-size dynamic red, white and black Hail the New Dawn poster(s).

I enclose 40p plus 17 postage for each poster.

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

Cheques/P.O's payable to Nationalist Books. Send to Nationalist Books, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

heritage

THE HERITAGE GROUP

In this, the latest in our Heritage series, Eddy Butler, the organiser of the NF's Heritage Group explains the aims and objectives of the Group.

UNTIL RECENTLY, the National Front has neglected cultural development and has almost appeared Nihilistic. Over the last year and a half, the need for the NF to be a party of culture, and not merely a party of street level politics, has been realised. The formation of the Heritage Group within the Nationalist Education Group is one of the first real steps in this direction.

By concentrating on, and studying our cultural heritage, we can both strengthen our resolve to struggle in the hard years ahead, and also show a positive side to the party. What we are fighting for rather than what we are fighting against.

It is also distressing that many of our own members are completely unaware of our Nations cultural glory, and are seriously infected with cosmopolitan influences. These influences inevitably lead to standards of behaviour which sometimes leave much to be desired. The spark of idealism which motivates these people to support the National Front must be kindled. Then these members will be much stronger in their commitment and our movement will reap the benefits.

The heroism, honour and creative genius of our past should be the steel to forge a new discipline and loyalty within the Party. Inspiration from the past is a powerful emotive force which we of all people should not underestimate. Hence, the major role of the Heritage Group must be to educate our own members. The most important and valued members should be those ideologically and culturally aware; they must also be activists. Either on its own is not enough.

The National Front must be the light that shines out of Britain's gloom, and not sink into being a group of violent embittered bigots. Idealism must be appealed to, drawing on the heritage of our blood line as a source of inspiration.

CULTURAL BATTLE

We do not merely fight a racial struggle to preserve our people. We must also fight the cultural battle. If it had not been for the moral and cultural degeneracy into which our Nation had slumped, the immigrants would never have arrived, and our corrupt politicians would never have been elected. Thus, our National Revolution could never be complete, even after full repatriation, unless our country is instilled with a new pride. All American, Marxist and Zionist influences and guilt complex's must be eradicated. Failure to do so would imperil the future of our country.

The study of heritage and values is of great importance. Whether it be Celtic, Anglo-Saxon or Norse, it should be treasured; the genius of later years sprang forth from these rich seeds. In an age when we are taught to feel guilty about our past, it is up to us to relish our great moments and have pride in them. Britain's achievements are unrivalled. If you do not know where you came from, then your true destiny cannot be realised.

Another important role of the Heritage Group is to recruit a new type of member to the party. Ordinarily, our image as projected by the media (and sometimes encouraged by a minority of our members action) scares off many potential recruits. When we are seen to be involving ourselves in cultural matters, we are likely to attract more idealistic people.

In order to encourage an awareness of our cultural heritage among members, we will organise a series of visits to exhibitions, shows, monuments and such like. Visits to places of interest outside London would help to involve members from further afield. Suggestions are of course welcome. Hopefully, we will stage our own exhibitions and talks, and help the N.E.G. in its role of educating our members for our future struggle. Our publications should also encourage an interest in our heritage, and provide a forum for debate. The Heritage Group must also become active in opposition to the destruction of what is left of our National Heritage.

Previous Heritage groups within the Party have sadly tended to flounder. Let's hope that we can now transform the National Front into the cultural heart of Britain, in-so-doing, building a revolutionary movement which can grow and challenge the oligarchy that destroys all we hold dear.

REGISTER WITH THE HERITAGE GROUP

I am interested in the work of the Heritage Group, and the N.E.G.

Can you please send me details concerning the above-mentioned groups.

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

Send to: Eddy Butler, c/o 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

FIGHT BACK FOR BRITAIN

DON'T JUST SIT THERE – GET INVOLVED IN THE NATIONALIST STRUGGLE!

SEND 50p TO: THE NATIONAL FRONT, 50 PAWSONS ROAD, CROYDON, SURREY CRO 2QF, AND WE WILL SEND YOU AN INFORMATION PACK ABOUT THE PARTY, AND DETAILS OF YOUR NEAREST ACTIVE UNIT.

Get NT Every Month

Make sure you receive *Nationalism Today* promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

NAME .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: £10.00 . . . . . Overseas unsealed surface mail £11.00 . . . . .Overseas unsealed air mail £16.00 . . . . . Half rates for 6 issues. All cheques/P.O's should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: NT, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.